

ADVANCING THE OPERATIONAL USE OF SOLAR SATELLITE CHANNELS : NEW FORWARD OPERATOR DEVELOPMENTS



INFORMATION CONTENT OF SOLAR CHANNELS

Solar channels (measuring reflected sun light with wavelengths < 4μ m) of imagers on geostationary and polar orbiting satellites provide high-resolution information on clouds, aerosols and humidity that could be valuable for model evaluation and data assimilation (DA). Up to now only the 0.6μ m visible channel of Meteosat SEVIRI is being assimilated operationally (in DWDs regional DA system).



← Signals in different SEVIRI channels for uniform water or ice clouds at fixed heights with varying water path.

MFASIS FOR WATER VAPOR SENSITIVE CHANNELS

- MFASIS in RTTOV 14.0: Too large errors for water-vapor sensitive channels (e.g. MTG 0.9μ m)
- Reason: Not enough information on WV impact available from the 16 NN input parameters
- WV absorption depends on photon path length and WV concentration
- Clouds: Path length is increased by multiple scattering, depends on geometric thickness of cloud
- → We need additional parameters describing WV content in / between clouds & cloud geometry
- → New set of 27 input parameters defining more detailed idealized profiles ("extended 2-layer clouds")

Idealized profiles used within MFASIS RTTOV 13.2, 14.0

RTTOV 14.1



The **cloud information** in solar channels is complementary to the information available from thermal channels.

← Water vapor (WV) sensitive solar channels Reflectance in MTG FCI channels for thick water clouds (optical depth 100) with varying cloud top pressure and two different droplet sizes in a moist atmosphere (WV content 50mm) and a **dry atmosphere** (WV content 5mm)

FORWARD OPERATOR RTTOV-MFASIS

- Exploiting information content requires forward operator, which generates synthetic images from numerical weather prediction (NWP) model state by solving a radiative transfer (RT) problem
- Solar channels: Multiple scattering complicates solution, standard methods are too slow for DA
- **MFASIS** (method for fast satellite image synthesis): Sufficiently **fast and accurate**
- Strategy: (1) Complex NWP model profiles can be replaced by idealised profiles (see box to the right) defined by few parameters without changing the reflectance significantly
 - (2) Compute reflectances for idealised profiles based on random parameter combinations using standard RT solver (discrete ordinate method)
 - (3) Train a deep feed forward neural network (aka multi-layer perceptron MPL) to generate reflectances from parameters





Neural network training / errors

- Increased number and complexity of input features
- \rightarrow larger NN required: ~31k parameters
- Inference is still fast (< 2μ sec / profile)
- Artificial training data covers full parameter space evenly (good) but may contain many unrealistic cases (problem becomes worse with increasing number of dimensions) \rightarrow we compare two approaches for the training data set: (1) 2.8×10^7 samples of artificial data

(the 27 input features are chosen randomly)

- **Neural networks** (NNs): Small (typ. 2000-5000 parameters) \rightarrow fast (<1 μ sec/column), trained with Tensorflow using O(10⁷) samples. Mean reflectance errors in most cases smaller than 0.01.
- **Inference**: Vectorized Fortran code including tangent linear and adjoint versions
- The NN version of MFASIS has been **implemented in RTTOV** satellite forward operator package (developed by EUMETSATs numerical weather prediction satellite application facility). The number of supported instruments was increased significantly with version 14 (released in February 2025).



CONSISTENCY WITH ECRAD

in collaboration with **Robin Hogan (ECMWF)**

- Assumptions made on overlap and inhomogeneity of **unresolved clouds in RTTOV should be consistent** with assumptions in the internal RT code in the NWP model (ICON and IFS: ecRad)
- At the moment this is not yet the case, RTTOV assumes homogeneous clouds with maximumrandom overlap, ecRad inhomogeneous clouds with exponential-random overlap
- Inhomogeneity is quantified by fractional standard deviation fstd = std(LWC) / mean(LWC)
- A slow but fully ecRad-consistent stochastic cloud overlap/inhomogeneity implementation (based on ecRad code) was used to investigate the **reflectance errors arising from the inconsistencies**

(2) 2.7×10^6 samples extracted from ICON profiles

• Validation with set of 5000 diverse IFS NWP profiles: Both approaches work well (errors comparable to 0.6μ m), smaller bias for ICON profile training data set

ni icon promes					
	$-0.06 -0.04 -0.02 0.00 0.02 0.04 0.06$ $R_{approx} - R_{true}$				
MTG 1 FCI 0.9μm - albedo 0.5	RMSE	MAE	bias	P99	P99.9
Adjacent – idealization only	0.0461	0.0225	0.0144	0.1883	0.3027
Extended – idealization only	0.0079	0.0040	-0.0019	0.0332	0.0635
Extended – NN, artificial training data	0.0133	0.0087	-0.0048	0.0494	0.0954
Extended – NN, ICON training data	0.0123	0.0083	0.0004	0.0426	0.0700

Reflectance error distribution

MTG 1 FCI - $0.9\mu m$, albedo=0.5

idealization only

- NN, artificial training data

Extended - idealization only

Extended - NN. ICON trainin

NEW NN ARCHITECTURE FOR FULL PROFILE INPUT

Identifying suitable input features for new satellite channels ("feature engineering") is complex and time-consuming \rightarrow Design (transformer-inspired) network to use full atmospheric profiles as input and train it to learn features automatically ("feature extraction") and compute reflectance in one network.



These Reflectance errors often exceed 0.05 in denser clouds (larger than typical MFASIS errors, not much smaller than the the observation errors of 0.1-0.15 assumed in DA)





Example: Synthetic SEVIRI RGB image generated from ICON forecast using RTTOV (left) and 0.6μ m

reflectance difference (right) between

- maximum-random overlap without
- inhomogeneity (deterministic
- implementation as in RTTOV) and
- stochastic method with

exponential-random overlap and inhomogeneity (ecRAD-consistent)

Contributions to the reflectance difference related to inhomogeneity, different overlap assumptions and different implementations.

In development: "optimal columns", a fast and consistent method.