

# A Global Observing System Simulation Experiment to evaluate the impact of the IASI-NG hyperspectral infrared sounder

Robin Marty (1), Louis Rivoire<sup>(1)</sup>, Thomas Carrel-Billiard<sup>(1)</sup>, Nadia Fourrié (1), Philippe Chambon<sup>(1)</sup>, Olivier Audouin<sup>(1)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> CNRM, Météo-France and CNRS, Toulouse, France and <sup>(2)</sup> EUMETSAT, Darmstadt, Germany

Correspondance to robin.marty@meteo.fr, nadia.fourrie@meteo.fr



## **1.** Introduction

IASI-NG is a hyperspectral infrared sounder developped by the CNES and AIRBUS meant to replace the successful IASI instrument on MetOp satellites (Bermudo et al., 2014, 2022). In order to prepare the assimilation of IASI-NG data within the operational Numerical Weather Prediction (NWP) system, the Centre National de Recherches Météorologiques (CNRM) set up an Observing System Simulation Experiment (OSSE). It allowed us to fine tune IASI-NG data assimilation parameters and measure the impact IASI-NG will have on the quality of weather predictions.

#### **2.** Construction of a Data Assimilation Framework

An Observing System Simulation Experiment (OSSE) is a numerical experiment used to evaluate the value of a new observing system when actual observational data is not yet available. It consists of a long, uninterrupted forecast called the nature run, which provides a realistic evolution of the atmosphere considered as truth, a 4DVAR NWP data assimilation system used to compute the best estimation of the variables of the atmosphere and to produce a realistic weather forecast, and "observations" simulated from the nature run with realistic observation errors.

The models used in the nature run and in the data assimilation system need to be different to avoid the « identical twin problem » already identified by the scientific community (e.g. Hoffman and Atlas, 2016). They are documented in Table 1.

Parameters of the model	Nature run	Data assimilation and forecasting system	Simulation and perturbation ARPEGE Nature Run Comparisons (scores)
Truncation	TL1798	TL798	observations
Resolution over Europe	About 5 km	About 10 km	



. . .

Figure 8: Percentages of IASI-NG observations flagged "cloudy" per channel for CO2 channels (top panel) and water vapour channels (bottom panel) IASI-NG data is cloud sensitive and observations made over clouds have to be discarded. The cloud detection system proposed by McNally and Watts(2003) was adapted to process IASI-NG data and the flagged observations had to be validated. In figure 8 we can see that the first channels which are sensitive to the higher the atmosphere and thus not impacted by clouds have very little to no cloud flags. As we move on to the higher channels. we travel down the atmosphere and channels sensitive to clouds, which corresponds to the steep increase in cloud flags that starts around channel 332 (686.375 cm-1) whose weight function peak is at 100hPa. In our IASI-NG impact study experiment percentage of cloudy the however.



### **3.** Computation and Validation of the Nature Run

The Nature Run is split into a summer and a winter run and acts as a perfect reality used as a reference for our assimilation experiments. It is set like a regular forecast experiment that runs for 4 months with no assimilation. In order to stay within a realistic meteorological reality, the sea surface temperature is forced with the OSTIA SST product. The Nature Run's physical coherence is validated by comparing the mean temperature and humidity patterns over different periods with the operational forecast outputs.



Figure 2: Mean temperature difference over 2 months at 900hPa between the nature run and the operational forecast (summer) (Nature Run – Operational Forecast) 2021-08-01-00 - 2021-10-01-00 Min: -7.22 K Max: 9.67 K Mean: 0.42 K STD: 1.74 K

When comparing the nature run's temperature with the operational forecast output over 2 months, we observe substantial regional differences (~10°C), but a very small mean and std. The longer the considered period, the smaller the regional differences become

Figure 3: Mean temperature difference over 2 months at 900hPa between the nature run and the operational forecast (winter) (Nature Run – Operational Forecast) 2021-12-01-00 - 2021-02-01-00 Min: -8.94 K Max: 8.04 K Mean: -0.11 K STD: 2.28 K



channels have from 80% to 99% of cloudy observations, when they should have around Figure 9: IASI-NG channel 97 (657 cm-1) observations before and after horizontal "thinning" To reduce the amount of data processed by the 4DVAR, the "thinning" process downsamples observational datasets by selecting a single data point per grid point. In our case, we chose the same grid size as used for the IASI data thinning e.g 95km. For channels not affected by clouds like the one shown above, the amount of

discarded data is around 30%.

humiditv

∩f

GPSRO and AMSU-A

decrease

#### 7. Impact of IASI-NG

To diagnose the impact of IASI-NG observations on the forecast system, we analyse the differences between the first guess forecast of our reference experiment and the observations (fg departure), and the first guess forecast of our experiment with IASI-NG and the observations. Below, these differences were computed over a 15 days period and a 99% confidence interval. When adding IASI-NG we observe that the first guess forecast produced by the 4DVAR is driven closer to IASI-NG observations, and is therefore driven closer or futher to the other instrument's observations. Because IASI-NG is decreasing forecast errors in certain areas, the difference between the first guess (6h forecast) and the other instruments is also decreased in these areas.





The nature run behaves the same over the summer and the winter period. To validate the nature run we also plotted the mean temperature depending on the latitude, where we also observed realistic meteorological physics (not shown).

#### **4.** Simulation and Calibration of the Observations

To perform our OSSE, we need to feed realistic observations to our 4DVAR experiment. For this matter we sample the nature run using the real observation locations from the observation system already in use, and for IASI-NG we use simulated orbitals. The data is sampled as physical variables (temperature, pressure, etc...) and then converted back into radiances and brightness temperatures using RTTOV 12. Finally, we add noise corresponding to instrumental errors to match real data's uncertainties. The simulated data of infrared sounders like IASI, CRIS and microwave sounders like ATMS, AMSU-A MHS also take into account clouds



Figure 4: ATMS microwave sounder simulated brightness temperature for channel 20 and the nature run's relative humidity field at 500 hPa on the 08/14/2021 at 0:00 am.

ATMS channel 20 is sensitive to humidity around 500 hPa, and when we compare its simulated brightness temperature to the nature run, we can see that the patterns match. The simulated observations are thus coherent with the nature run. On the 4DVAR's assimilation side, the noise applied to each individual instrument had to be calibrated in order to match the impact they have in the real operational forecast system. This was done using a trial and error method and is more extensively explained in the poster by Rivoire et al, 8p.06.

#### **5. IASI-NG Simulation and Assimilation**



Figure 6: Weighting functions of 122 selected IASI-NG channels. 101 selected channels from band 1 and 21 channels from band 2, channels in orange are assimilated over land and water, channels in blue are assimilated over water only

To select which IASI-NG channels we want to assimilate, we first considered the 500 channels selected by Vittorioso et al, 2021 corresponding to the IRS sounding bands. However the current forecast system isn't capable of processing this amount of data (only 129 IASI channels are currently assimilated in the Météo France operational forecast system), therefore it had to be narrowed down more. For the first band of the IASI-NG spectrum we mapped IASI-NG channels to the IASI channels already in use. For the second band, we chose a set of water vapour sensitive channels whose combined weight functions cover the whole atmosphere as shown on the right pannel of Figure 6.

Figure 7: IASI-NG error correlation matrix IASI-NG channels have correlated observation errors as the other hyperspectral infrared sounders. In order to succesfully assimilate the 122 selected IASI-NG channels, we computed an interchannel correlation error matrix as proposed by Desroziers et al 2005. Figure 7 shows the matrix computed over 5 days of 4DVAR. We observe very strong correlations for CO2 channels sensitive to the tropospheric temperature, to the surface and humidity channels. This matrix is then used in the 4DVAR IASI-NG impact experiment.

# L. F. Bermudo, S. Rousseau, E. Pequignot, F. Bernard (2014): IASI-NG program: A new generation of Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer. IEEE

Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, DOI: 10.1109/IGARSS.2014.6946690. 2. F. Bermudo, E. Jurado, C. Lefèvre, A. Deschamps, C. Luitot, F. Bernard, S. Guibert (2019): IASI-NG Program: Status Overview. International TOVS

Study Conferences, ITSC-22. 3. F. Vittorioso, V. Guidard, N. Fourrié (2021): An Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer – New Generation (IASI-NG) channel selection for numerical weather prediction. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 147:3297–3317, DOI: 10.1002/qj.4129.

4. Desroziers, G., Berre, L., Chapnik, B. and Poli, P. (2005), Diagnosis of observation, background and analysis-error statistics in observation space. Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc., 131: 3385-3396.

5. McNally, A.P. and Watts, P.D. (2003), A cloud detection algorithm for high-spectral-resolution infrared sounders. Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc., 129: 3411-3423.

CNES is acknowledged for funding the work presented in this poster.