Towards a fuller exploitation of the window channel from geostationary satellites in NWP

ITSC-24 – 16 March 2023

Samuel Quesada-Ruiz, Tony McNally, Chris Burrows, Angela Benedetti <u>samuel.quesada@ecmwf.int</u>

Thanks to

EUMETSAT Fellowship Program

Cristina Lupu, Marco Matricardi, Kirsti Salonen, Mohamed Dahoui (ECMWF)

Tim Hewison, Mounir Lekouara, Johan Strandgren, Arthur de Smet (EUMETSAT)

AK Sharma, Andrew Heidinger, Sharon Nebuda, Thomas King, Donna McNamara, Qiang Zhao (NOAA/NESDIS)



Geostationary radiances observations currently used in operations at ECMWF



Data coverage for geostationary radiances used at ECMWF from 21 UTC on 13 March to 3 UTC on 14 March 2023 Currently only water vapour channels are assimilated, with the **window channel** used as an additional QC check for cloud contamination.

Sat.	Met-11	Met-9	Him-9	GOES-16	GOES-18	
Instrum.	SEVIRI		AHI	ABI		
Product	ASR		CSR			
Active	6.25µm		6.25µm	6.15µm		
			6.95µm	7.00µm		
	7.35µm		7.35µm	7.40µm		
Passive	10.8um		10.45um	10.3	Օստ	
	13.4µm				• F	



Why is important to Maximise the Exploitation of Window Channel Radiance Observations from GEO

- Quantifying the surface emission in a scene (with the window channel) assists **vertical localisation** and accuracy of the humidity information extracted from the two water vapour channels above.
- The GEO window channel is the only source of information to constrain the full **diurnal cycle of skin temperature** in the 4D-Var analysis.
- The GEO window channel will play a key role in the ECMWF coupled SST analysis.

Tuesday 21 March 2023							
8:30-9:	40 Session 13: Earth Syst	em Approach	Oral presentation				
13.03	Tracy Scanlon	Further exploiting MW and IR radiances through skin temperature information in a coupled ocean-	extracting and using ocean atmosphere system				

- Later it will be used to similarly constrain land surface temperature (LST) in a **coupled land data assimilation**.
- **MTG-IRS** will provide hundreds of surface sensitive channels so we need to gain experience in their exploitation

GEO Window Channels are similar but with differences

Different instruments	Diff	erent p	roducts						
Satellite	Met-11	Met-9	Him-9	GOES-16	GOES-18				
Instrument	SE	VIRI	AHI	ABI					
Product	A	SR	CSR	CSR					
Longitude	0.3°W	41.5°E	140.7°E	75.2°W	137.0°W				
Central Wavelength	10.8	8 µm	10.45 μm	10.30 μm					
Spectral interval (99% encircled energy)	1.0) μm	0.3 µm	0.5 μm					
SNR or NEAT	0.25 K	@ 300 K	≤ 0.1 K @ 300 K 0.1 K @ 30) 300 K				
× GDE5-16 (45859) ▼ GDE5-16 (4512) ● METEOSAT-11 (23967) ● METEOSAT-9 (23663)									

Looking at different regions (oceans)



Different spectral characteristics

GEO Window Channel monitoring



Discrepancies shown in GOES-16 vs GOES-17

Met-11/-8 obs jumpiness at sunrise and sunset

How to disentangle the various sources of bias (RT, observation, model) ?

How do the GEO Window Channel differences translate into radiance space

Marco Matricardi

Used 3000 vertical profiles and surface conditions from our 137-level

forecasting model to simulate GEO observations with RTTOV



The radiative transfer model can correctly simulate the GEO Window channel observations and is not responsible for the diurnal cycle of the bias

Reduced jumpiness in the <u>observations</u> at dawn and dusk following EUMETSAT increased temporal resolution of the RTM to Met-11 & Met-8 on 21 July 2021





GOES-16/-17 Enterprise Cloud Mask greatly reduce the Window Channel diurnal cycle amplitude over Ocean



Diurnal cycle amplitude in the Window Channel reduced from 0.5 K to 0.2 K for both GOES-16/17

GEO Window Channel observations have benefitted of a year of operational changes and research but are reaching a saturation point – time to improve the model



Brigh

Met11 (FG

TST Hour

20

ECMUF EUROPEAN CENTRE FOR MEDIUM-RANGE WEATHER FORECASTS

10

Assimilation of the GEO Window Channel (in the current context – CY47R3) further improves operational GEO assimilation

Operations



Preliminary Experiment (1 March – 31 May 2022) <u>There is still scope for further improvement</u>

Bias correcting the GEO Window Channel observations for solar zenith angle removes the solar signature from the diurnal cycle leaving the surface temperature cycle

Preliminary Result based on CY48R1 (just out of the oven) – Still needs to be better understood



Conclusions

- Initially, we had a large systematic diurnal mismatch between the model and the GEO data
- With improvements made by data providers (improved cloud masking applied to the data and increased temporal resolution of the RTM calculations) and more stringent pixel selection the amplitude of the diurnal cycle is reduced
- In the current context, assimilation experiments including the GEO Window Channel show positive improvement
- The remaining bias is still important and likely a diurnal error in the model that should be investigated.
- This could prove extremely significant as the ocean surface temperature (SST) is an important driver of NWP forecast skill

Thanks for your time

Any questions?

samuel.quesada@ecmwf.int



Window Channel diurnal cycle zonal variation over Ocean

Brightness Temperature Bias (K)



Window Channel diurnal cycle zonal variation over Ocean ...

