

TOVS AND THE MM5 ANALYSIS OVER PORTUGAL

ITSC - XIV Beijing - China


Y. Yamasaki (1) and M. D. M. Orgaz⁽²⁾

University of Aveiro, Physic Dept., 3810-193 Aveiro Portugal yamasaki@fis.ua.pt(1), mariola @ fis.ua.pt(2)

SUMMARY

TOVS data retrieved from NOAA-16 satellite have been analyzed and compared with the one-way 3 nested domain integration of the NCAR/Penn State Mesoscale Modeling System - MM5. The analysis have been conducted throughout comparison with available observational data, from Lisbon radiosounding station as well as with the mesoscale model simulation data. The results show that vertical temperature profiles have small departures, compared with its humidity counterpart, with respect to both observations and model simulations. In order to figure-out the effectiveness of TOVS data in reducing the sounding data retrieval errors, particularly near surface, and to improve the precipitation forecast, the rainfall occurrence days, during October 2004 over Portugal, have been selected to simulate these events, using a weak constrained 4DVAR satellite retrieved data assimilation procedures. The results revealed that, although humidity data have retrieval errors, they contributes to improve the precipitation events forecast over Portugal.

OBSERVATIONAL DATA AND MM5 MODEL



NOAA-16 ATOVS

Fig. 2: NOAA16 - Total water vapor



Fig. 6: Radiosounding and MM5 profiles of T (6a) and Td (6b, 6c) - 041025: 12 UTC

Simulated Temperature profiles (MM5) present good agreement with radiosoundings as shown in Fig. 6a for 041026 - 12:00 UTC. Dew point (Td) Temperature improves with TOVS FDDA assimilation as in Fig. 6b (without TOVS) and 6c (with TOVS).






Fig. 1: Surface Meteorological Stations (EMA)

Mesoscale Model Configuration - Kain-Fritch 2 for D1 and D2 and Grell for D3. The explicit schemes of single ice of Dudhia, for D1 and D2; and Reisner


Fig. 3: Meteosat IR 261004-12:00 UTC

M M 5 - M odeldomains	D1	D2	D3
HorizontalResolution (~km)	81	27	9
#Grig points East-West	57	58	79
#G rid points North-South	39	55	73
Tpography resolution (~ km)	56	19	9
T in e step (seconds)	240	80	26,6

mixed phase for D3.

points East-West	57	58	79		<u>a</u>
points North-South	39	55	73	42	
aphy resolution (~ km)	56	19	9		W Comment
step (seconds)	240	80	26,6	41	I SH
				J	
				40	2.28
					COK /
				30	SER STATE OF THE SERVICE OF THE SERV
; with surface data ar	nd TOV	S re	etrievd		
					19K

Fig. 7: Oct26 accumulated precipitation(im mm). 7a-Observed ; 7.b- MM5 without FDDA; 7.c - MM5 with surface data FDDA; 7d - MM5 with surface and TOVS data FDDA.



CONCLUSION

The comparisons of the vertical temperature profiles of MM5 simulations with the available radiosounding data of 12:00 UTC from Lisbon presented a relatively good agreement on all cases : without surface data FDDA; with surface data FDDA; with TOVS data FDDA and with bloth surface data and TOVS data FDDA.

The breakthrough of the TOVS data assimilation using the FDDA in the MM5 have been on the dew point temperature profiles, which presented a relatively good improvement and therefore contributing for the improvement of the rainfall forecastings. Due to lack of desirable vertical resolution near surface, TOVS data does not presented a noticeable improvement in the surface parameters forecastings. However this is a subject under investigation as well as gethering of quantitative results statistics.

KNOWLOGMENT

The research has been supported by Science and Technology Foundation (FCT) under grant FCT/REEQ946/2001, as well all first authors' support FCT/BD/19284. The authors thank to National Meteorological Institute (IM) of Portugal and to the German Aerospace Center (DLR)/ German Remote Sensing Data Center for providing

Fig. 4: MM5 Domains

RFSULTS

M M 5 simulations have been made with surface data FDDA data FDDA.Fig.5 show the profiles of radiosounding (black line) and MM5 line). The results for October 25:12:00 UTC without TOVS (5 a - Tem perature; 5 b - Dew Point Tem perature) and the corresponding results with TOVS are in 5 c and 5 d.




Fig. 5 : Radiosounding and MM5 profiles of T (5a, 5c) and Td (5b, 5e) - 041025: 12 UTC