

Accuracy requirements for Climate Measurements:

- Reflected solar irradiance; 0.3% accuracy
- Emitted infrared radiance; <0.1K accuracy
- Radio occultation; 0.06% accuracy

AIRS, IASI and CrIS; 1K accuracy

Wielicki et al. 2013: Achieving climate change absolute accuracy in orbit. BAMS

Can we use weather measurements to calculate climate observations?

When working with <u>probable solutions</u> to complex problems (e.g., Earth system change) we need to understand UNCERTAINTY



Radiances alone do not tell the full story.

We need to develop methods that allow the use of radiances with retrievals in a geophysically consistent manner at climate scales

Our Toolbox



sampling

sampling

CSPP Dual-Regression Retrieval Algorithm for AIRS, IASI and CrIS

Smith, W. L., et al. 2012, JAMC, 8:1455–1476 Weisz, E., et al. 2013, JGR, 118:6433–6443

Linear dependence on radiance spectra: Variation depends only on radiance, no first guess

All sky: Retrievals are made in clear and cloudy (0 - 100%) conditions; from top-of-atmosphere to cloud top pressure.

Independent of Field-of-View (FOV) size: Can be applied to AIRS, IASI and CrIS, on single- or aggregate-FOV

Fast: Off-line Radiative transfer calculation allows for fast inversion. Sensor archive can easily be reprocessed

Retrieves Full Atmospheric State Retrieval

<u>Surface</u>: temperature & spectral emissivity <u>Atmosphere</u>: T, H₂O, and O₃ profiles & CO₂ ppm <u>Cloud</u>: height and optical thickness

Space Time Gridding

Smith, N. et al. 2013, JAMC, 52:255-268



We work with estimates (probabilities) of the 'truth' that we can never know exactly

The best we can do is describe probability accurately

<u>What we know so far</u>: There is an air mass dependence in retrieval differences between AIRS and IASI due to presence and frequency of clouds



Smith, Nadia et al. 2015: *AIRS, IASI, and CrIS retrieval records at climate scales: an investigation into the propagation of systematic uncertainty*. **JAMC**., 54, 1465–1481



Smith et al. 2015 JAMC

How do we begin to understand these differences at large scales?

By starting with something we know very well: CrIS radiances

Tobin, David et al. 2013: *S-NPP CrIS radiometric calibration uncertainty*. **JGR** 118, 1–12; doi:10.1002/jgrd.50809

Characterizing the <u>spectral</u> and <u>air mass</u> dependence of radiance observation uncertainties.

Nonlinearity (a2): Quadratic nonlinearity coefficient increased by the 3-sigma uncertainties, 29% (LW) and 47% (MW)

<u>Calibration Blackbody Temperature (Tict)</u> Blackbody (ICT) temperature increased by 3-sigma uncertainty, 85 mK





Temperature Retrieval Sensitivity to Nonlinearity Coefficient (a2)



Temperature Retrieval Sensitivity to Calibration BB Temperature (Tict)



Statistics for a global day of retrievals (2015-03-15) demonstrating height and scene (temperature) dependence of radiance uncertainty

a2

Tict



The way forward

Develop covariance matrices that fully characterize the spectral and air mass dependence of radiance observation uncertainties.

Repeat this experiment, but this time isolate and estimate the other main sources of uncertainties, e.g. due to the Radiative Transfer Model, Clouds, etc.