



Validation Studies Using NAST- Interferometer Field Measurements

Allen M. Larar^a, William L. Smith^a, Daniel K. Zhou^a, and Stephen Mango^b

^aNASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA ^bNPOESS Integrated Program Office, Silver Spring, MD







- Motivation
- Case study & data sources
- Instrument systems
- Validation methodology
- Results
- Summary & conclusions



Motivation



• Aircraft underflights fundamental to space-based sensor validation

- High-altitude aircraft platforms (Proteus, ER-2, DC-8, WB-57, P-3, etc.) instrumented with validation sensors (NAST-I, S-HIS, INTESA, NAST-M, LASE, MAS, etc.) provide validation data by obtaining spatially & temporally coincident observations with satellite platforms of interest (e.g. Terra (Modis), Aqua (Modis & AIRS), and future Aura (TES), Metop (IASI), EO-3 (GIFTS), and NPP/NPOESS (CrIS).
- Such airborne/satellite coincident data contribute toward essential cal/val activities
 - On-orbit Sensor performance verification
 - On-orbit sensor calibration validation
 - Validate algorithms
 - Direct and derived data product validation
 - Long-term monitoring of sensor performance (radiance & geophysical)



Case Study: *PTOST*

• **PTOST (February 18 - March 13, 2003, HAFB, Hawaii).** The 2003 *Pacific THORPEX Observing System Test (PTOST)* was the first in a series of Pacific and Atlantic observation campaigns in support of the WWRP/USRP THORPEX Program. THORPEX - a Global Atmospheric Research Program aimed at improving short range (up to 3 days), medium range (3-7 days) and extended range (two week) weather predictions. Flights targeted frontal boundaries and storm systems, as well as satellite sensor validation underflights (TERRA, AQUA, and ICESat)

Aircraft Payload Included:

ER-2 (NAST-I, NAST-M, S-HIS, MAS, CPL); G-IV (Dropsondes, in-situ O₃)



13th International TOVS Study Conference, ITSC-13, Larar et al., 31 October, 2003.



Satellite Platforms Included: Terra & Aqua



The NAST & SHIS Systems



✓ Validation tools

- ✓ NPOESS risk mitigation
- ✓ Airborne science
- ✓ Engineering testbeds



<u>Instruments</u>

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{IR Interferometer (NAST-I)} \\ \mbox{Spectral Range: } 3.5 - 16 \mbox{ microns} \\ \mbox{Spectral Res.: } 0.25 \mbox{ cm-1} (v/v\delta > 2000 \) \\ \mbox{Spatial res.: } 130m/km \mbox{ flight alt.} \\ \mbox{A/C platforms: ER-2, Proteus} \end{array}$

Microwave Radiometer (NAST-M) Spectral Regions: 50 - 60 GHz, 113 - 119 GHz, 183 GHz, 425GHz A/C platforms: ER-2, Proteus

IR Interferometer (S-HIS) Spectral Range: 3 - 17 microns Spectral Res.: 0.5 cm-1 ($\nu/\nu\delta > 1000$) Spatial res.: 100m/km flight alt. A/C platforms: ER-2, Proteus, DC-8



Characteristics of Remote Sensors Employed in Study



Instrument system	<u>Sensor type</u>	<u>Spectral extent</u>	Spectral resolution	<u>Nadir IFOV</u>	<u>Platform</u>
NAST-I	Michelson interferometer	3.5 – 16 μ, continuous	0.25 cm ⁻¹ , υ/δυ > 2000	2.5 km (from ER-2)	ER-2
S-HIS	Michelson interferometer	3.0 – 17 μ, continuous	0.5 cm ⁻¹ , υ/δυ > 1000	2.0 km (from ER-2)	ER-2
AIRS	Grating spectrometer	3.8 – 15.4 μ, discrete channels	~0.4 – 2.2 cm ⁻¹ , υ/δυ ~ 1200	~ 13.5 km	AQUA
MODIS	Radiometer	$\begin{array}{l} 3.6-14.4\ \mu\ (IR\\ bands\ 20-36)\ ,\\ discrete\\ channels \end{array}$	~13 – 128 cm ⁻¹ , broadband filters	~ 1 km	AQUA
MAS	Radiometer	$\begin{array}{l} 3.6-14.4\ \mu\ (IR\\ bands\ 20-36)\ ,\\ discrete\\ channels \end{array}$	~13 – 128 cm ⁻¹ , broadband filters	~ 50 m	ER-2



NASA





Study Methodology



- Incorporate multiple, independent, temporally- & spatially-coincident data sources
 - <u>Satellite:</u> AQUA AIRS & MODIS
 - <u>ER-2</u>: NAST-I, S-HIS, MAS, in-situ O_3
 - <u>G-IV:</u> dropsondes
 - <u>HI:</u> radiosonde
 - <u>Buoys:</u> ~ SST
- Verify spatial co-registration by comparing geo-referenced images at select λ
- LBL- & FFM-based calculations for simulated observations
 - SST from distant buoy & NAST-I retrieved; atmospheric profiles from vertical combination of dropsondes, NAST-I retrieved, radiosonde, in-situ O₃, NOAA-88, and standard atmosphere
- Compare nadir-coincident observations and simulations with Modis broadband SRFs applied (or similar, i.e. MAS)
- For clear region, compare high resolution spectra
 - PC-filtering applied to measured radiances & then averaged over clear FOVs
 - Measurements and simulations, on original and common spectral scales
 - Original SRFs and combined (i.e., NAST-I*S-HIS*AIRS, S-HIS*AIRS, AIRS*S-HIS)



Sat & A/C Ground Tracks







13th International TOVS Study Conference, ITSC-13, Larar et al., 31 October, 2003.



Nadir Track Coincidence with MODIS Broadband SRFs









NAST-I Window Region Warm Bias?



13th International TOVS Study Conference, ITSC-13, Larar et al., 31 October, 2003.



Baseline Measured Spectra w/ Original SRFs







13th International TOVS Study Conference, ITSC-13, Larar et al., 31 October, 2003.





Spectra Comparison with Common SRFs [NAST-I*SHIS*AIRS; SHIS*AIRS; AIRS*SHIS]







Summary & Conclusions



- Instrument systems and simulation agreement shown, in general, to be within acceptable levels considering measurement and forward model uncertainties
- Offsets from Modis of similar magnitude and direction to that reported in earlier studies (e.g. Tobin et al., OSA FTS mtg., 2003; Moeller et al., SPIE SD03) and possibly due to calibration offsets or SRF spectral shifts
- Spectral fidelity easily verified via simulations, but corresponding radiometric accuracy verification from simulation is limited by vertical accuracy of ancillary data and absolute accuracy of spectroscopic parameters
- High resolution FTS systems provide continuous spectra of high radiometric and spectral fidelity enabling emulation of other high-resolution or broadband instrument systems
- NAST-I & S-HIS provide high spatial/spectral/temporal resolution radiance measurements for atmospheric state characterization; such airborne observations over calibration sites (e.g., DoE ARM CART) can be used to account for time and space variability when comparing and interpreting other measurements (e.g., ground-, balloon-, aircraft-, and space-based systems)
- Spatial and temporal coincidence between observing systems crucial to differentiate between measurement uncertainty and geophysical variability
- Aside from collocated sensor(s) on same platform, space-based sensor validation best achieved using high-altitude aircraft based sensors viewing nadir and possibly zenith; can eliminate errors from spatial and temporal mismatches and spectroscopic data uncertainties, and allows viewing most of atmospheric column