

## Validation of AIRS Spectral Radiances with the Scanning HIS Aircraft Instrument

Hank Revercomb, David C. Tobin, Robert O. Knuteson, Fred A. Best, William L. Smith\*, Paul van Delst, Daniel D. LaPorte, Scott D. Ellington, Mark W.Werner, Ralph G. Dedecker, Ray K. Garcia, Nick N. Ciganovich, H.Benjamin Howell, and Steven Dutcher

> University of Wisconsin-Madison, Space Science and Engineering Center \* NASA Langley Research Center International TOVS Study Conference XIII Sainte Adele, Canada 29 October 2003 - 4 November 2003



# TOPICS



1. Scanning-HIS

Fall 2002 - Oklahoma

Oklahoma, ARM UAV "Grand Tour" (SHIS on Proteus at 15 km, 16 Nov 2002)

2. AIRS Radiance Validation

Gulf of Mexico, Terra/Aqua 2002 (SHIS on ER2 at 20 km, 21 Nov 2002)



3. AIRS Assessment of MODIS Calibration

# **S-HIS Uplooking**

# UW Scanning HIS: 1998-Present

(HIS: High-resolution Interferometer Sounder, 1985-1998)

#### **Characteristics**

Spectral Coverage: 3-17 microns
Spectral Resolution: 0.5 cm<sup>-1</sup>
Resolving power: 1000-6000
Footprint Diam: 1.5 km @ 15 km
Cross-Track Scan: Programmable including uplooking zenith view





#### **Applications:**

- Radiances for Radiative Transfer
- Temp & Water Vapor Retrievals
- Cloud Radiative Prop.
- Surface Emissivity & T
- ♦ Trace Gas Retrievals

## **SSEC Scanning HIS on 1st ARM-UAV Mission with Proteus, October 2002**



#### **Scanning-HIS Band Overlap Agreement**



S-HIS zenith and cross-track scanning Earth views 11-16-2002 from Proteus @ ~14km



#### **Observed and Caculated zenith views from Proteus @ ~14km**



Calculation based on 18Z ECMWF analysis, with 0.0004 cm H<sub>2</sub>O above 14km

# Radiance Validation of AIRS with S-HIS

## **AIRS / SHIS Comparisons**

A detailed comparison should account for:

- instrumental noise and scene variations
- Different observation altitudes (AIRS is 705km, SHIS is ~20km on ER2, ~14km on Proteus)
- Different view angles (AIRS is near nadir, SHIS is ~±35deg from nadir)
- Different spatial footprints (AIRS is ~15km at nadir, SHIS is ~2km at nadir)
- Different spectral response (AIRS  $\Delta v = v/1200$ , SHIS  $\Delta v = ~0.5$  cm<sup>-1</sup>) and sampling



## AIRS / SHIS Comparison steps

- 0. Average SHIS data within AIRS FOV(s) & compare
  - No attempt to account for view angle, altitude, spectral differences.
- 1. <u>Compare Residuals from calculations</u>: (obs-calc)<sub>SHIS</sub> to (obs-calc)<sub>AIRS</sub>
  - SHIS and AIRS calcs each done at correct altitudes, view angles, spectral resolution and sampling.
  - Monochromatic calcs done using same forward model, atmospheric state, and surface property inputs.
- 2. Difference Residuals: Spectral Resolutions made similar
  - valid comparison except for channels mainly sensitive to upper atmosphere, above proteus altitude

#### MODIS 12 µm Band Tbs(K) & near-nadir AIRS FOVs



### MODIS 12 micron Band & near-nadir AIRS FOVs



#### 8 AIRS FOVs used in the following comparisons

### "comparison 0" 8 AIRS FOVs, 448 SHIS FOVs, PC filtering





## **<u>AIRS</u>** Compared to <u>S-HIS</u>, 21 Nov 2002



## **<u>AIRS</u>** Compared to <u>S-HIS</u>, 21 Nov 2002



## **<u>AIRS</u>** Compared to <u>S-HIS</u>, 21 Nov 2002



Different viewing angle make daytime comparisons less accurate

### Small Spectral Shift (3% of resolution) in AIRS Module-05 identified from S-HIS Validation



Tobin, et al., CALCON 2003, presented S-HIS Spectral Calibration

#### "Comparison 2" (21 November 2002) Excluding channels strongly affected by atmosphere above ER2



Calibration and Validation for IR radiance observations are now concerned with tenths of K, not degrees K !

High Spectral Resolution is an important part of the reason (Goody & Haskins, J Climate,1998)

# AIRS Assessment of MODIS Calibration

#### **AIRS spectrum and Aqua MODIS Band** MODIS Band / wavelength(µm) **Spectral Response Functions** 36 14.2 35



3.7 33 13.4 32 2.0 31 11.0 11.0 30 29 9.7 28 7.3 27 6.8 1

3.9

25 4.5 24 4.4 23 4.1 22 4.0 21 4.0

### Fantastic AIRS - MODIS Agreement for Band 22 (4.0µm)!





## Summary of AIRS-MODIS mean Tb differences

Red=without accounting for convolution error Blue=accounting for convolution error with mean correction from standard atmospheres

p-p Convolution Error (CE) Estimate

Band	Diff	CE	Diff	Std	N
21	0.10	-0.01	0.09	0.23	187487
22	-0.05	-0.00	-0.05	0.10	210762
23	-0.05	0.19	0.14	0.16	244064
24	-0.23	0.00	-0.22	0.24	559547
25	-0.22	0.25	0.03	0.13	453068
27	1.62	-0.57	1.05	0.30	1044122
28	-0.19	0.67	0.48	0.25	1149593
30	0.51	-0.93	-0.41	0.26	172064
31	0.16	-0.13	0.03	0.12	322522
32	0.10	0.00	0.10	0.16	330994
33	-0.21	0.28	0.07	0.21	716940
34	-0.23	-0.11	-0.34	0.15	1089663
35	-0.78	0.21	-0.57	0.28	1318406
36	-0.99	0.12	-0.88	0.43	1980369



### <u>Shifting MODIS Band 35 (13.9 μm) by 0.8 cm<sup>-1</sup> Works</u> to Remove Mean bias and Scene Tb Dependence



#### AIRS-MODIS: un-shifted, shifted



## Summary

•The calibration uncertainty of advanced high spectral resolution observations are approaching the 0.1 K desired for climate applications

•Aircraft high spectral resolution observations from Scanning-HIS [& its cousin the NPOESS Airborne Sounder Testbed (NAST)] are now proven tools for the detailed validation of satellite based observations

• AIRS is providing high quality global radiances for atmospheric sounding & climate applications, and a calibration reference for other IR instruments

## Summary (2)

•High spectral resolution Aircraft comparisons provide a way to periodically test the absolute calibration of spacecraft instruments with instrumentation that can be carefully re-calibrated with reference standards on the ground.

This capability is especially valuable for assuring the long-term consistency and accuracy of weather and climate observations