Forecast sensitivity to satellite observations at Météo-France

Nathalie Saint-Ramond - nat Alexis Doerenbecher, Florence Rabier, Vincent Guidard, Météo-France and CNRS, CNRM-GAME, Toulouse, France



Impact of different subsets of the observing system



Fig 3: Linear estimate of observations impact, averaged over the globe for a one-month and a half period (December2010 to mid January 2011) (a) Impact of observations assimilated in ARPEGE separated by observation group and (b) divided by observations number (c) percentage of beneficia observations and (d) observations count

AMSUA and IASI instruments have the biggest impact on forecast error reduction. They also provide a very large number of observations. When we divide the impact by observation number, we can see that buoy individual contribution to the forecast error reduction is the biggest. Concerning other satellite observations, GPSRO, Wind scatterometer, amsub, polar AMV contribute a lot to forecast error reduction, even if they are not very numerous.

Impact of satellite sounders

The impact of any instrument can be computed over the globe or only over a selected area. One can choose to compute this impact by channel. When the impact value is negative, this induces a positive influence on the forecast as it reduces the forecast error (good impact). Fig. 4 shows the impact for IASI on Metop.



Fig. 4: Global impact of IASI on METOP (a) per channel number and (b) averaged on a 2x2 degrees grid. Evaluation over a one-month and a half period during December 2010 and mid January 2011

Over the calculation period, we can see on fig. 4 that most of IASI channels improve the 24h-forecast. The nine water vapour channels bring a good impact (on the top of fig. 4 a). Among the others, the biggest impact comes from Temperature channels pointing the UTLS. However, we can see that a small number of channels degrade the 24 hour forecast. This has to be investigated and confirmed over another period or using a classical OSE for example. One has to keep in mind that the impact calculation uses a tangent linear approximation. Fig a (b) is an example of 2%2° grided averaged impact for channel 109 of IASI.



Fig. 5: Global impact per channel number for (a) AMSU-A and AMSU-B/MHS (b) HIRS (c) SSMIS and (d) AIRS

Fig. 5 shows the impact of other sounders, depending on the assimilated channel. The impact is generally good, except for one AIRS channel. The best impacts come from:

AIRS: temperature channels at the UTLS level.

AMSU-A: channel 7 and 8, in the upper troposphere; channel 13 points at the highest level and controls the high stratosphere.

AMSU-B: channel 5, humidity between 2 and 4 km high. . HIRS: water vapour channel 12, in the middle troposphere and infrared channels 14 and 15 in the lower troposphere. SSMIS: channel 14, in the lower troposphere

.0



GPS radio-occultation data are assimilated in the global 4DVAR and European LAM 3DVAR since September 2007:

- Bending angles are assimilated using 1D operator + TL/AD from GRAS-SAF. Data used in this experiment come from GRACE-A, FORMOSAT-3/COSMIC1-6, GRAS
- Rising and setting occultations are used up to 36 km altitude. Lower limit depend on latitude: from 1 km (pole) to 6 km (tropics).
- A vertical thinning is operated (1 datum per model vertical layer)

Fig. 6 shows a good global coverage and every LEO (Low Earth Orbit satellite) brings a good impact on forecast error reduction but this impact depends strongly on altitude. A study has to be made to investigate the bad impact at 29 and 35 km height.



Atmospheric Motion Vector

Atmospheric Motion Vector Atmospheric Motion Vector (or satwind) are measured on board geostationary and polar satellites. Fig.7 is an example of how observation impact can be computed on different observations subsets. and

(a) and (b) show the impact by channel, by atmopheric layer and by platform. (c) is a 2%2° grided averaged impact in the lower troposphere.

Conclusion and references

Other satellite instrument impact

In order to improve weather forecasts and assimilations, the capability to compute the forecast sensitivity to observations has been implemented at Météo-France. This technique (Langland and Baker, 2004) is now commonly used as a complement to data denial experiments. The linear estimate of each observation contribution to the forecast improvement is computed using the adjoint model.

This diagnostic tool allows to monitor the influence of a large number of observations used in the data assimilation system. Accounting for the approximation made, the linear estimate and can only be used to compute observation impact on a short range forecast, such as 24 hours and must be further investigated, using classical OSEs or on other periods for example.



Cardinali C. 2009. Monitoring the observation impact on the short-range forecast. Q. J. R. Meteolol. Soc. 135:239-250 Errico RM. 2007. Interpretations of an adjoint-derived observational impact measure. Tellus 59A:273-273

Langland RH, Baker NL. 2004. Estimation of observation impact using the NRL atmospheric variational data assimilation adjoint system. Tellus 56A:189-201

Rabier et al. 1996. Sensitivity of forecast errors to initial conditions. Q. J. R. Meteolol. Soc. 122:121-150 er & comprendre Zhu Y, Gelaro R. 2008. Observation sensitivity calculations using the adjoint of the Gridpoint Statistical Interpolation (GSI) analysis system. Mon. Weather Rev. 136: 335-351



