



Impact of Assimilating AMSU-A Radiances on forecasts of 2008 Atlantic TCs Initialized with a limited-area EnKF

Zhiquan Liu (<u>liuz@ucar.edu</u>) Craig S. Schwartz, Chris Snyder, and So-Young Ha

National Center for Atmospheric Research Boulder, Colorado, USA

NCAR is sponsored by the National Science Foundation

ITSC-18, Toulouse



Outline

- Introduction to NCAR WRF/DART system and Radiance DA in EnKF
- Results from 2008 Atlantic hurricane season
- Conclusions

Data Assimilation Research Testbed (DART)

- Ensemble Adjustment Kalman Filter (EAKF) algorithm, a two-step square-root filter
 - Ensemble update in observation space

$$\mathbf{y}_{j}^{a} = \mathbf{A}_{y}^{1/2} (\mathbf{HBH}^{T})^{-1/2} \left(\mathbf{y}_{i}^{b} - \overline{\mathbf{y}^{b}} \right) + \overline{\mathbf{y}^{a}}, \quad i = 1, \mathsf{K}, N$$

 Followed by ensemble update in model space through linear regression between observation-space increment and model-space increment

$$\mathbf{x}_{i}^{a} - \mathbf{x}_{i}^{b} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}})^{-1} \left(\mathbf{y}_{i}^{a} - \mathbf{y}_{i}^{b}\right)$$



Common practice of EnKF

• Use ensemble of model forecasts to compute prior sample covariances

$$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{H}^{T} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta \mathbf{x}_{i}^{b} (\delta \mathbf{y}_{i}^{b})^{T}$$
$$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{H}^{T} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta \mathbf{y}_{i}^{b} (\delta \mathbf{y}_{i}^{b})^{T}$$

- Control sampling error
 - Variance inflation (adaptive approach in DART)
 - Covariance localization (adaptive approach in DART)



Radiance DA in WRF/DART

- Make use of observation operators built in the WRFDA-3DVAR.
 - Radiance obs prior is calculated from WRFDA-3DVAR using CRTM
- Peak level of weighting function used for vertical localization
- Make use of bias correction utility in WRFDA-3DVAR

Radiance Bias correction coefficient spin-up

Recent work indicates spinning up coeffs for O(months) is beneficial

$$J(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_b)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{B}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_b) + \frac{1}{2} [\mathbf{y} - \tilde{H}(\mathbf{x}_r, \boldsymbol{\beta})]^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{R}^{-1} [\mathbf{y} - \tilde{H}(\mathbf{x}_r, \boldsymbol{\beta})]$$

Reference field for coeffs training can be: from global analysis (**NCEP GFS** used in this study), or EnKF analysis, or other regional analysis



NOAA-18 AMSU-A, ch 6 20080501--20080915



Experimental period

- 11 Aug-15 Sep 2008
 - 5 storms: Fay, Gustav, Hannah, Ike, Josephine



ITSC-18, Toulouse



Experimental Design

- Two principal WRF/DART 6-hourly cycling experiments
 - (1) Assimilate solely "conventional" (i.e., non-radiance) observations
 - (2) Assimilate conventional observations and <u>AMSU-A channels 5~7 radiances</u> from NOAA-18 and METOP-2.
- WRF V3.2.1: 36km, 36 levels to 20hPa.
 - Deterministic 72-h forecasts from ensemble mean analyses at 00Z, 12Z
- DART:
 - 96 members, ± 1.5 h time-window, LBCs from GFS
 - Adaptive inflation and localization, No surface obs except altimeter
- Radiances:
 - Thinned to 72 km, CRTM via WRFDA
 - Vertical location assigned based on peak of Jacobian for each ob
 - Static bias correction coefficients from offline monitoring spun-up for 3 months prior to experiment using GFS as the reference field

Obs coverage @ 00Z 17 Aug. 2008



NE

SL

ITSC-18, Toulouse



Single 3-d forecast before landfall













03/22/2012

ITSC-18, Toulouse



Track/intensity errors for all storms



48-h forecasts vs. dropwindsondes obs





208 dropsondes used in verification

NOAA G-IV dropwindsondes sampled TC environment, not TC core.

NESL Mean difference of Radiance minus non-radiance analyses



Radiances made the analyses colder over Atlantic, therefore reduced the warm bias (when compared to ERA-Interim re-analyses) and weakened steering flow.



Importance of simultaneously assimilating radiances and satellite winds





Conclusions

- Assimilating AMSU-A radiance improved TC track and intensity forecasts, particularly for forecast range beyond 36-h.
- Track improvement likely caused by improved environmental analysis.
- Simultaneous assimilation of radiances and satellite winds is important to maximize the benefit from both data sources.

More details can be found in the manuscript: Liu et al., 2012, submitted to MWR.