

# Contribution of POLDER to Water Vapor observation

*Michèle Vesperini*

*Laboratoire d'Optique Atmosphérique*

*UMR CNRS/Université de Lille 1, FRANCE*

**POLDER1 algorithm**

**examples of use**

**POLDER2 algorithm**

**experimental results over dark surfaces**

# **POLDER instrument:**

**Polarization and Directionality of Earth Reflectances**

**Onboard ADEOS-1 (NASDA-CNES)                      and                      ADEOS-2**

- \_                      sunsynchronous ~ 10h30**
- \_                      swath ~ 2400 km**
- \_                      full resolution 6 -7 km; products @ 20km<sup>2</sup> or 60 km<sup>2</sup>**

**November 1996 to June1997**

**April 2003 until now**

**solar domain: 443<sup>P</sup>, 490, 565, 670<sup>P</sup>, 763, 765, 865<sup>P</sup>, 910 nm**

**for water vapour: 865 nm et 910 nm**

# differential absorption


absorption contrast

between 865 & 910 nm (clear sky)

white surface hypothesis

$$R_{surf910} \sim R_{surf865}$$

$$X = \frac{R_{910}}{R_{865}} \approx \frac{t_{910}}{t_{865}}$$



# Total Column Water Vapour content


$$TCWV = U = \int_0^{p_{surf}} \frac{q(p)}{g} dp \quad (\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2})$$

**polynomial fit**


$$m \cdot TCWV = a2 \ln(X)^2 + a1 \ln(X)$$

two-way air mass

$$m = \frac{1}{\theta_0} + \frac{1}{\theta}$$




# polynomial fit



$$m \cdot TCWV = a2 \ln(X)^2 + a1 \ln(X)$$

**coefficients from radiative transfert simulations**


# POLDER 1: Validation



**overestimation of large contents**


# POLDER 1: Validation

CLASSE 1: OCEAN POLDER-SSMI matches with IQ2 < 2




overestimation of large contents

radiative transfer simulations



**HITRAN 1992** > **HITRAN 2000**

# POLDER 1: Validation over land





**surface bias**

**underestimation of small contents**



# POLDER 1: Validation over land




surface bias  
underestimation of small contents

off line surface correction

fct (R865/R765)

## off line correction



**RMSE = 2.8 kg/m<sup>2</sup>**

**< radiosondes ~ 5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>**

# retrieval limitations

**near-infrared solar domain**

**daytime only**

**1 obs per day only**

**absorption based technique  
small scattering effects**

**clear sky only**


**high surface-reflected signal  
/scattering effects**

**land and ocean glitter**

# POLDER water vapor content

POLDER 27 JUN 97

TCWV ( $\text{kg/m}^2$ )



**LAND: valuable spatial coverage (clear sky)**


**OCEAN: ~ 1/3 of the swath (clear sky and glitter)**

# Comparisons to meteorological analyses


## ECMWF - POLDER (1996-1997)

DEC


961221




961222




961223



961224




961225




APR


970421




970422




970423



970424




970425




MAY


970526




970527




970528



970529




970530



# assimilation experiments

## POL - CTRL ANALYSES



max drying:  
0.35 g/kg


*Manouvrier and Vesperini, LOA & Meteo France, 2000*

# POLDER CLASSE 2 algorithm

calibration over ocean glitter targets

reference to SSMI F10 - Wentz algo version 5

SSMI-F10 wentzV5 - dtime=2hour




$$\text{SSMI} = 1.00 \text{ RS} + 0.33 \quad (\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2})$$

$$\text{RMS} = 1.55 \text{ kg/m}^2$$

# POLDER CLASSE 2 algorithm:

for selected clear sky glitter scenes (POLDER lev1 / SSMI)



weak absorption:


$$m.U_{h_2o} = a_2 \ln(X)^2 + a_1 \ln(X)$$

strong absorption:

$$m.U_{h_2o} = a_2 \ln(X)^2$$



# POLDER CLASSE 2: land surface correction



## conclusion and perspectives

### **POLDER water vapor (total column)**

experimental algorithm over ocean in any geometry

**operational product over land or in glitter geometry**

**clear sky**

**daily (1 a day)**

**~ 3 kg/m<sup>2</sup> precision**

**sensitive to the lower troposphere**

**to complement sounding instruments**

## conclusion and perspectives


**African Monsoon Multidisciplinary Analysis project**  
**need for water budget**

**provide fine scale humidity analyses**  
**over land and ocean**

**differential absorption retrievals over land**  
**(POLDER/MODIS/MERIS)**

**+  $\mu$ wave and IR sounding**

# Differential Absorption Technique Over Ocean



**in any viewing geometry**

**small reflectance for the surface**


coupling between scattering and water vapor absorption

Instrument noise on top-of-atmosphere signal

# Effects of Aerosol Scattering


All cases

Over Ocean



As a function of  $\tau_a$  and  $H_a$ .


Over Ocean



# Water vapor over land and ocean


Water vapor content:

Continuity between land and ocean is observed




# Comparisons with SSMI data

## Histogram: SSMI -POLDER



## Comparisons SSMI/POLDER



Mean  $\sim + 1.8 \text{ kg/m}^2$  : due to the accuracy of the radiative transfer code  
RMS error  $\sim 4 \text{ kg/m}^2$  : overestimation of large contents

# Radiative Transfer Modeling

## Radiative transfer code : GAME

Absorption: correlated k-distribution from a Line-By-Line (LBL) code for gaseous absorption

Spectral resolution:  $10 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ .

HITRAN 2000 spectroscopic database

CKD2.4 parameterization for the water vapor continuum


Discrete Ordinates Method (DOM) for absorption, emission and multiple scattering processes.

Sea-surface reflectance: specular and diffuse reflection



# Differential Absorption Technique Over Ocean

Without Aerosol



Reflectance Ratio calculated with the GAME code:

Without aerosol

Rayleigh scattering


Over Land or Ocean

Solar angle  $0 < \theta_s < 60^\circ$

View angle  $0 < \theta_v < 60^\circ$

$4 < U_{h_2O} < 60 \text{ kg/m}^2$

# Effects of Aerosol Scattering



Aerosol properties:


Optical Thickness:

$\tau_a$  (550nm): 0.1 to 0.3

Maritime model (Mie theory)

Scale Height  $H_a$ : 1 and 3 km

# Aerosol Scale Height



**POLDER oxygen bands:**

At 863 and 865 nm

Estimate of the surface pressure  $P_s$

From the airmass  $m$  and the  
reflectance ratio  $R(863/865\text{nm})$

## Inversion Scheme

- Look-Up-Tables: calculated with the radiative transfer code polynomial regressions for :

$$mU_{h_2o} = R_{H_2O} (910nm/865nm)$$

$$mP_s^2 = R_{O_2} (763nm/765nm)$$


as a function of  $\mu_a$  is and  $H_a$

- $R_{H_2O}$  and  $R_{O_2}$  are deduced from POLDER data
- $\mu_a$  is a POLDER product
- $H_a$  is estimated from an iterative procedure when:


$$P_{app} (H_a) = P_s (ECMWF)$$

# Study Case over East Asia

Polder scene over East Asia




Reflectance and reflectance ratio  
in the POLDER channels  
at 865 and 910 nm



# POLDER aerosol Product

Aerosol Optical thickness at 865 nm from the  
POLDER algorithm



# Conclusion

## POLDER water vapor (total column)

### **Operational product over land or in sunglint conditions**

**clear sky, daily (1 a day)**

**~ 2 kg/m<sup>2</sup> precision**

### **Experimental algorithm over ocean:**

**first results: satisfactory agreement for a case study**

**improvement of the method: line-by-line approach**

**global validation to test the robustness of the method**

**effects of thin clouds**