Retrieval of SO₂ from high spectral resolution measurements: AIRS and IASI

Fred Prata¹ and Lieven Clarisse²

Research,

¹Climate and Atmosphere Department, Norwegian Institute for Air Kjeller, Norway. Email: <u>fpr@nilu.no</u>

²Spectroscopie de l'Atmosphère, Service de chimie quantique Univ. libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium. Email :

Photophysique, Iclariss@ulb.ac.be



Why measure SO₂?

Atmospheric pollution Effects on climate Hazards to aviation

- Background levels generally low <10⁻⁴ ppmV
- Man-made emissions from industry, mining operations, shipping

– emission rates from 10 gs⁻¹ to >1000 kgs⁻¹

- Large natural emissions from volcanoes
 - passive degassing >10 kgs⁻¹
 - explosive volcanism >10³ kgs⁻¹



Atmospheric Pollution



Measurements from NILU's ground-based UV camera – EnviCam



SO₂ ship emissions from a large cruise ship entering Kongsfjorden, Ny Ålesund, Svalbard.



14 April 2010

Volcanic emissions

Villarica volcano, Chile. Passive degassing Soufriere Hills, Montserrat explosive eruption, 11 February 2010

Ground-based IR camera (CyClops) at Tavurvur volcano, New Britain.



AIRS and IASI can measure SO₂ absorption in the strong v_3 (1363 cm⁻¹/7.3 µm) band of SO₂

AIRS and IASI can also measure SO₂ absorption in the weak combination band $v_1 + v_3$ 2500 cm⁻¹/4 µm) band of SO₂

Ash can be measured between 800–1130 cm⁻¹



14 April 2010

Retrieval scheme

$$\begin{split} I_{\nu} \approx \int_{0}^{z_{1}} B_{\nu}[T(z)] \bigg(\frac{\partial \tau_{\nu}[z, q_{2}(z) \dots q_{n}(z)]}{\partial z} \bigg) dz \\ & + \int_{z_{1}}^{z_{2}} B_{\nu}[T(z)] \bigg(\frac{\partial \tau_{\nu}[z, q_{1}(z), q_{2}(z) \dots q_{n}(z)]}{\partial z} \bigg) dz \\ & + \int_{z_{2}}^{\infty} B_{\nu}[T(z)] \bigg(\frac{\partial \tau_{\nu}[z, q_{2}(z) \dots q_{n}(z)]}{\partial z} \bigg) dz. \end{split}$$
$$I_{\nu}' = I_{\nu,0} \exp \bigg\{ - \int_{0}^{\infty} k_{\nu}(z) q(z) dz \bigg\}, A_{\nu} = -\ln \bigg\{ \frac{I_{\nu}'}{I_{o,\nu}} \bigg\} = \int_{0}^{\infty} k_{\nu}(z) q(z) dz \\ Absorbing layer only \qquad A_{\nu} = -Ln \bigg\{ \frac{I_{p,l_{i}}}{I_{p,r,l_{r}}} \bigg\} \qquad S_{\nu} = -Ln \bigg\{ \frac{I_{s}}{I_{0}} \bigg\} \\ R = \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{1-1}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \tilde{A}_{i}}^{2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \tilde{S}_{i}}^{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \tilde{A}_{i}}^{2}} . \end{split}$$

14 April 2010



AIRS SO₂ using the strong v_3 (7.3 µm band)



Kasatochi AIRS 7.3 um Cumulative SO, 8-13 August, 2008











Microphysics retrieval

r_e – effective particle radius
τ–infrared optical depth
M–mass loading
Si–silicate composition





MODIS AIRS CALIOP Comparisons





14 April 2010

IASI H₂SO₄ detection



Detection of volcanic SO₂, ash, and H₂SO₄ using the Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer (IASI)

F. Karagulian,¹ L. Clarisse,¹ C. Clerbaux,^{1,2} A. J. Prata,³ D. Hurtmans,¹ and P. F. Coheur¹ Received 6 July 2009: revised 24 Sentember 2009: accented 2 October 2009: multished 27 February 2010.

[1] In this work we use infrared spectra recorded by the Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer (IASI) to characterize the emissions from the Mount Kasatochi volcanic eruption on 7 and 8 August 2008. We first derive the total atmospheric load of sulfur dioxide (SO₂) and its evolution over time. For the initial plume, we found values over 1.7 Tg of SO₂, making it the largest eruption since the 1991 eruptions of Pinatubo and Hudson. Vertical profiles were retrieved using a line-by-line radiative transfer model and an inversion procedure based on the optimal estimation method (OEM). For the Kasatochi eruption, we found a plume altitude of 12.5 ± 4 km. Taking advantage of IASI's broad spectral coverage, we used the ν_3 band (~1362 cm⁻¹) and, for the first time, the $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band 0.2 (~2500 cm⁻¹) of SO₂ for the retrievals. While the ν_3 band saturates easily for high SO₂ 904 concentrations, preventing accurate retrieval, the $\nu_1 + \nu_2$ band has a much higher saturation threshold. We also analyzed the broadband signature observed in the radiance spectra in the 1072-1215 cm⁻¹ range associated with the presence of aerosols. In the initial volcanic plume the signature matches closely that of mineral ash, while by 10 August most mineral ash is undetectable, and the extinction is shown to match closely the absorption spectrum of liquid H-SO4 drops. The extinction by sulphuric acid particles was 0.0 confirmed by comparing spectra before and a month after the eruption, providing the first spectral detection of such aerosols from nadir view radiance data. Citation: Karagulian, F., L. Clarisse, C. Clerbaux, A. J. Prata, D. Hurtmans, and P. F. Coheur (2010). Detection of volcanic SO₂, ash. 1042 and H-SO4 using the Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer (IASI), J. Geophys. Res., 115, D00L02. BTD (K) doi:10.1029/2009JD012786 -0.2 -1160 -0.4 --0.6 --0.8 -1000 1200 800 900 1100 1300 wavenumber (cm⁻¹)



14 April 2010

Detection of other species

279 ature (K) 27 261 250 Brightn cand volcanic ash -10 750 875 1000 750 075 1000 1125 1050 1125 1250 Wavenumber (cm⁻¹) Wavenumber (cm⁻¹) (a) (b) Brightness Temperature (K) Temperature (K) 275 250 88 Bright biomass burning 1000 1250 750 875 1125 750 875 1000 1125 1250 Wavenumber (cm⁻¹) Wavenumber (cm⁻¹) (c) (d) 275 Observed ature (K) Eitted Observed - Fitted Fitted - Aerosol Observed - Fitted - Aerosol -20 750 875 1000 1125 1250

14 April 2010

L. Clarisse, D. Hurtmans, A.J. Prata, F. Karagulian, C. Clerbaux, M. De Mazi`ere and P.-F. Coheur, Retrieving radius, concentration, optical depth and mass of different types of aerosols from high resolution infrared nadir spectra, *Submitted to Applied Optics, 2010.*

ITSC-17 Monterey, California

Wavenumber (cm⁻¹)







532 nm Total Attenuated Backscatter, /km /sr Begin UTC: 2010-02-12 05:15:12.6771 End UTC: 2010-02-12 05:37:45.9742

Version: 2.02 Expedited Image Date: 02/13/2010



Combining satellite data with a Lagrangian dispersion model

•Volcanic ash and SO₂ can be placed into the atmosphere at different levels

•Wind shear ensures that ash and SO₂ travel in different directions and at different speeds

 Is there a better way to utilise dispersion models and satellite data to provide predictions for aviation?



14 April 2010

Inverse Modelling- Analytic method

Source-receptor matrix calculation with a Lagrangian particle dispersion model in backward mode, P. Seibert and A. Frank, ACP, 4, 51-63, 2004.

Sources x (1..n) x^a a priory profile

Satellite observation y⁰ (1..m)

M Emission sensitivity Matrix (m \times n), as obtained from FLEXPART

 σ standard error of observation

 $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^a) \approx \mathbf{y}^o - \mathbf{M}\mathbf{x}^a$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{M} \widetilde{\mathbf{x}} \approx \widetilde{\mathbf{y}}. \\ J = \underbrace{(\mathbf{M} \widetilde{\mathbf{x}} - \widetilde{\mathbf{y}})^T \mathbf{diag}(\sigma_o^{-2})(\mathbf{M} \widetilde{\mathbf{x}} - \widetilde{\mathbf{y}})}_{|| \text{ misfit model - observation}} \\ + \underbrace{\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}^T \mathbf{diag}(\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}^{-2}) \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}}_{||| \text{ deviation from first guess - III) smoothness condition} \end{split}$$

Satellite Data-AIRS



Model-FLEXPART



Nilopril 2010









ITSC-17 Monterey, California 26







ITSC-17 Monterey, California 29

