Ice cloud properties, an information content analysis from high spectral resolution measurements in the infrared : IASI and IASING



L. Leonarski, L. Labonnote, J. Vidot, A. J. Baran, M. Compiègne, P. Dubuisson 22nd International TOVS Study Conference – 05/11/2019









Introduction : context

→ Weather forecast : cloud coverage = more than 80 % (Lavanant 2010) of satellite data remains unused





MeteoFrance

Introduction : context

→ Weather forecast : cloud cover = more than 80 % (Lavanant 2010) of satellite data remains unused

→ Radiative balance : numerous thin clouds - strong radiative impact → need to test the microphysical models in the IR with high spectral measurements





Introduction : context

de Lille



Use ice cloud contaminated IASI/IASING measurements to obtain information about :

- → Ice Water Path (IWP)
- → Cloud Top Height (CTH)
- → Cloud Geometrical Thickness (CGT)



Microphysical model

Field et al. (2005/2007) → parametrization of ice crystal size distribution giving only temperature and IWC





Microphysical model

<u>Université</u>

Field et al. (2005/2007) → parametrization of ice crystal size distribution giving only temperature and IWC

Baran et Labonnote (2007) → ice crystal **optical properties** from a 6 shape ensemble model



Microphysical model

Field et al. (2005/2007) → parametrization of ice crystal size distribution giving only temperature and IWC

Baran et Labonnote (2007) → ice crystal **optical properties** from a 6 shape ensemble model

Vidot et al. (2015) \rightarrow parametrization for **RTTOV**



- → Forward model : RTTOV (fast radiative transfer code)
- → **Optimal estimate** as inverse method (Rodgers 2000)
- → Information content and channel selection from information theory (Shannon 1949)



Methods

de Lille

→ ECMWF profiles database : representative profiles of normal conditions, variability and extreme conditions of the atmosphere over a year (Eresmaa and McNally 2014)



\rightarrow Mono-layer case





IWP (g/m^2)

Université

de Lille

CTH (km)

CGT (km)

6

\rightarrow Mono-layer case



IWP > 1 g/m^2



IWP (g/m^2)

Université

de Lille

CTH (km)

CGT (km)

6

→ Multi-layer case : separated





IWP (g/m²)

Université de Lille

CTH (km)

CGT (km)

7

→ Multi-layer case : mixed

Université de Lille





IWP (g/m²) CTH (km) CGT (km)

→ Information content analysis with all the IASI and IASING channels → calculation cost too important

→ Channel selection to remove reduntant information



Channel selection

Université

de Lille

\rightarrow IASI

Mono-layer case ice 300 170 160 Brightness Temperature (K) 092 082 082 082 Selection 150 Number of 130 120 110 220 100 750 1000 1250 1500 1750 2000 2250 2500 2750 Wavenumber (cm⁻¹)

Non-retrieved parameters errors :

- gas profiles concentration (H2O, O3) \rightarrow 10 %
- temperature profile \rightarrow 1K
- emissivity \rightarrow 5 %
- surface temperature \rightarrow 1K

→ 905 selected channels

Channel selection

Université

de Lille

\rightarrow IASI

\rightarrow similar regions for **IASING**



ice

liquid

ectior

Retrieval algorithm test on IASI and IASING synthetic measurements generated from the profile database :

→ synthetic radiances calculation with radiometric noise added following a gaussian distribution

→ non-retrieved parameter errors

 \rightarrow *pdf* maximum \rightarrow minimisation with Levenberg-Marquardt







ice



 \rightarrow Mono-layer case \bigcirc

IWP > 1 g/m^2

IASI



OSCAR Goal = objectives from WMO

Université

de Lille

Cloud Bottom Height = CTH - CGT

 \rightarrow Mono-layer case

ice

IASING





Université

de Lille

Cloud Bottom Height = CTH - CGT

IWP > 1 g/m^2

→ high spectral resolution measurements such as IASI/IASI-NG can potentially be used to retrieve ice cloud properties with a channel selection to decrease calculation time

\rightarrow Outlooks :

- retrieval on real IASI measurements
- water vapour retrieval in the presence of clouds



Thank you for your attention

