## Ice cloud properties, an information content analysis from high spectral resolution measurements in the infrared : IASI and IASING



L. Leonarski, L. Labonnote, J. Vidot, A. J. Baran, M. Compiègne, P. Dubuisson 22nd International TOVS Study Conference – 05/11/2019









## **Introduction : context**

#### → Weather forecast : cloud coverage = more than 80 % (Lavanant 2010) of satellite data remains unused





MeteoFrance

## **Introduction : context**

→ Weather forecast : cloud cover = more than 80 % (Lavanant 2010) of satellite data remains unused

→ Radiative balance : numerous thin clouds - strong radiative impact → need to test the microphysical models in the IR with high spectral measurements



![](_page_2_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### **Introduction : context**

de Lille

![](_page_3_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Use ice cloud contaminated IASI/IASING measurements to obtain information about :

- → Ice Water Path (IWP)
- → Cloud Top Height (CTH)
- → Cloud Geometrical Thickness (CGT)

![](_page_4_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Microphysical model

Field et al. (2005/2007) → parametrization of ice crystal size distribution giving only temperature and IWC

![](_page_5_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Microphysical model

<u>Université</u>

Field et al. (2005/2007) → parametrization of ice crystal size distribution giving only temperature and IWC

**Baran et Labonnote** (2007) → ice crystal **optical properties** from a 6 shape ensemble model

![](_page_6_Figure_3.jpeg)

## **Microphysical model**

Field et al. (2005/2007) → parametrization of ice crystal size distribution giving only temperature and IWC

**Baran et Labonnote** (2007) → ice crystal **optical properties** from a 6 shape ensemble model

**Vidot et al.** (2015)  $\rightarrow$  parametrization for **RTTOV** 

![](_page_7_Figure_4.jpeg)

- → Forward model : RTTOV (fast radiative transfer code)
- → **Optimal estimate** as inverse method (Rodgers 2000)
- → Information content and channel selection from information theory (Shannon 1949)

![](_page_8_Picture_4.jpeg)

## Methods

de Lille

→ ECMWF profiles database : representative profiles of normal conditions, variability and extreme conditions of the atmosphere over a year (Eresmaa and McNally 2014)

![](_page_9_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### $\rightarrow$ Mono-layer case

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

IWP  $(g/m^2)$ 

Université

de Lille

## CTH (km)

#### CGT (km)

6

#### $\rightarrow$ Mono-layer case

![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

IWP >  $1 \text{ g/m}^2$ 

![](_page_11_Figure_4.jpeg)

IWP  $(g/m^2)$ 

Université

de Lille

#### CTH (km)

#### CGT (km)

6

→ Multi-layer case : separated

![](_page_12_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

IWP (g/m²)

Université de Lille

#### CTH (km)

#### CGT (km)

7

## → Multi-layer case : mixed

Université de Lille

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

IWP (g/m<sup>2</sup>) CTH (km) CGT (km)

#### → Information content analysis with all the IASI and IASING channels → calculation cost too important

→ Channel selection to remove reduntant information

![](_page_14_Picture_3.jpeg)

## **Channel selection**

Université

de Lille

#### $\rightarrow$ IASI

Mono-layer case ice 300 170 160 Brightness Temperature (K) 092 082 082 082 Selection 150 Number of 130 120 110 220 100 750 1000 1250 1500 1750 2000 2250 2500 2750 Wavenumber (cm<sup>-1</sup>)

#### Non-retrieved parameters errors :

- gas profiles concentration (H2O, O3)  $\rightarrow$  10 %
- temperature profile  $\rightarrow$  1K
- emissivity  $\rightarrow$  5 %
- surface temperature  $\rightarrow$  1K

#### → 905 selected channels

## **Channel selection**

Université

de Lille

#### $\rightarrow$ IASI

#### $\rightarrow$ similar regions for **IASING**

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

ice

liquid

ectior

Retrieval algorithm test on IASI and IASING synthetic measurements generated from the profile database :

→ synthetic radiances calculation with radiometric noise added following a gaussian distribution

→ non-retrieved parameter errors

 $\rightarrow$  *pdf* maximum  $\rightarrow$  minimisation with Levenberg-Marquardt

![](_page_17_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

ice

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $\rightarrow$  Mono-layer case  $\bigcirc$ 

IWP >  $1 \text{ g/m}^2$ 

#### IASI

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

OSCAR Goal = objectives from WMO

Université

de Lille

Cloud Bottom Height = CTH - CGT

 $\rightarrow$  Mono-layer case

ice

#### **IASING**

![](_page_21_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_5.jpeg)

Université

de Lille

Cloud Bottom Height = CTH - CGT

IWP >  $1 \text{ g/m}^2$ 

→ high spectral resolution measurements such as IASI/IASI-NG can potentially be used to retrieve ice cloud properties with a channel selection to decrease calculation time

#### $\rightarrow$ Outlooks :

- retrieval on real IASI measurements
- water vapour retrieval in the presence of clouds

![](_page_22_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Thank you for your attention

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)