

CVarBC(Constrained Variational Bias Correction)



CVarBC for satellite radiances assimilation

Wei Han¹, Niels Bormann², Heather Lawrence²

¹ NWPC/CMA, ²ECMWF

ITSC-XXI, Darmstadt, Germany, 2017

Outline

Motivation

- The bias correction is an ill-posed problem
- Two Remain Issues of bias correction and Efforts has been done

Methodology

- Use of priori information as constraint: Constrained Variational BC (CVarBC)
- Implementation in VarBC and offline BC

CBC in GRAPES (2014) and CVarBC in ECMWF IFS (2016)

- Window channel and Upper sounding channels
- Stratosphere Temp. sounding and integration with weak constraint 4D-Var

Summary and Discussions

- Using Radiance Uncertainty and RT model uncertainty
- Optimal Estimate of parameters in CVarBC

Motivation

$$\delta J = \left\langle \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{y}}, \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}_b - \mathbf{b} \right\rangle$$

FSO: Forecast sensitivity to observation Over or under bias correction could lead to negative impact

Interaction between Bias Correction and Quality Control

- Window channel: cloud contamination
- Bias estimation for Non-Gaussian observations(IR: cold tail; MW: warm tail)

• How to separate observation bias and model bias from O-B?

- Temperature sounding channels in stratosphere
- Trace gas sounding channels, e.g. IASI Ozone channels
- Humidity sounding channels
- Developing NWP systems

• What did we know about Observation Bias?

- Radiometric Uncertainty Estimation
- Systematic differences from GSICS
- RT model uncertainty
- Using the **PRIORI** information to constrain BC



$$R_{A} = R_{C} + S(C_{A} - C_{C}) + \mu S^{2}(C_{A} - C_{C})(C_{A} - C_{W})$$

BC and QC interaction



Mean Based 2007D08JF,ECMWF,IFS

0.4 8

0.2

0.0

"Anchor channel" method for IASI ozone channels



Radiometric Uncertainty (RU)



RT uncertainty

spec bia 1650 1650 ew spec ····· std H(old)-H(new) 1600 1600 Channel Channel Results from 1550 1550 Cristina Lupu William ------Results from Marco. 1500 1500 -1.0 -0.5 -1.5 0.0 0.5 1.0 -0.2 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 O-B(before BC) RTTOV-LBLRTM[unit:K] IASI ozone channels

RT uncertainty: old and new Spectroscopy

RT uncertainty: RTTOV-LBLRTM

Comparison of IASI radiances with NWP models from four operational centres

Fiona Hilton¹, Andrew Collard², Lars Fiedler³, Lydie Lavanant⁴



Constrained Bias Correction

Methodology: Constrained Variational Bias Correction (CvarBC)

Experiments in GRAPES







Two months cycle experiments in GRAPES global May-June 2013



CVarBC for AMSU-A Ch14 in ECMWF IFS: Background

There are systematic errors in model background,

IF there are not enough unbiased observations to constrain the analysis.



2014D15JF: 24h Forecast T bias

Constrained VarBC in IFS

CY42R1:Climate 2000-2001

CVarBC for AMSU-A Ch14 in ECMWF IFS : Background

A.McNally,2007: The assimilation of uncorrected AMSU-A ch14 to anchor the VarBC



Constrained VarBC in IFS

Drift to model bias without anchor

Bias correction of AMSU-A Ch14 in IFS CY41R2(nbgstdv=10):

- 1) Free VarBC will drift gradually;
- 2) It will affect the bias correction of Ch13 and Ch12;



Implementation of Constrained VarBC in IFSand CY41R2 experiments $\alpha^2 [h(\mathbf{x}, \beta) - \mathbf{b}_0]^T \mathbf{R}_b^{-1} [h(\mathbf{x}, \beta) - \mathbf{b}_0]^T$

| CVarBC | AMSU-A | alpha | BiasO | B(bias0)* |
|--------|------------|-------|-------|-----------|
| | Channel 14 | 0.3 | 0 | 1.4 |
| | Channel 13 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.85 |
| | Channel 12 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.5 |

*Same as observation error



Constrained VarBC in IFS

Temporal Evolution of bias correction



Constrained VarBC in IFS

Scan bias correction



Constrained VarBC in IFS

AMSU-A Ch14 < O-B>(2014-12-15~ 2014-12-31)



0 ×

Mean Bias Correction in 2014D15JF



Constrained VarBC in IFS

Verification using MLS Temperature retrieval



Temperature bias at 1hPa

MEAN(MLS-CNTL),2014D15JF



MEAN(MLS-CVarBC), 2014D15JF



MEAN(MLS-CNTL),2014D15JF



Impact on the fit of other observations



Impact of Constrained VarBC on AMSUA CH14 on Forecast (2014D15JF and 2015JJA)

2-Dec-2014 to 31-Aug-2015 from 322 to 360 samples. Confidence range 95%. Verified against own-analysis.









Latitude

From 322 to 360 samples. Cross-hatching indicates 95% confidence. Verified against own-analysis.



Latitude



-0.02

-0.04

One year experiments

 Test the implementation for a long time series and with weak constraint 4D-Var

$$2J(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\eta}) = (\mathbf{x}_{b} - \mathbf{x})^{T} \mathbf{B}_{x}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_{b} - \mathbf{x}) + (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_{b})^{T} \mathbf{B}_{\beta}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_{b}) + [\mathbf{y} - H(\mathbf{x}) - h(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\beta})]^{T} \mathbf{R}^{-1} [\mathbf{y} - H(\mathbf{x}) - h(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\beta})] + \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{2} [h(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) - \mathbf{b}_{0}]^{T} \mathbf{R}_{b}^{-1} [h(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) - \mathbf{b}_{0}] + (\boldsymbol{\eta} - \boldsymbol{\eta}_{b})^{T} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\eta} - \boldsymbol{\eta}_{b})$$









Impact on forecasts



Pressure, hPa

Pressure, hPa 100

400

700

-90

1000

-0.05

-0.10

100

400

700

1000

-90 -60

-60

0 0 Latitude

T+192

-30 0 30

Latitude

60

90

30 60 90

-30

sure, hP;

Pre

ssure, hPa

40

700

1000

10

40

700

1000

-90 -60

-90 -60 -30 0

Latitude

T+216

-30 0 30

Latitude

60 90

30 60 90



-0.10

-0.05

Summary and Discussions

Potential use of CVarBC

- Reanalysis
- Window channels
- Stratosphere sounding
- Humidity sounding (and trace gases)
- All-sky?

Priori information of observation Bias

- Systematic bias
- Uncertainty \mathbf{R}_{b}
- GSICS, GAIA-CLIM?

How to determine the regularization parameter?

 \mathbf{b}_{0}

2

- Posteriori estimation ?
- Balance with anchor observations?
- Deal with model bias?

$$J(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = (\mathbf{x}_{b} - \mathbf{x})^{T} \mathbf{B}_{x}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_{b} - \mathbf{x})$$

+ $(\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_{b})^{T} \mathbf{B}_{\beta}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_{b})$
+ $[\mathbf{y} - H(\mathbf{x}) - h(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\beta})]^{T} \mathbf{R}^{-1} [\mathbf{y} - H(\mathbf{x}) - h(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\beta})]$
+ $\alpha^{2} [h(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) - \mathbf{b}_{0}]^{T} \mathbf{R}_{b}^{-1} [h(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) - \mathbf{b}_{0}]$

Quantitive use of RU and RT uncertainty

α

Acknowledgment

The implementation of CVarBC at ECMWF was funded by the NWP-SAF Visiting Scientist program;

The discussions at **ITSC** are really appreciated.