Improved assimilation of IASI land surface temperature data over continents in the convective scale AROME France model

> Niama Boukachaba PhD supervised by Vincent Guidard and Nadia Fourrié

Météo-France and CNRS / CNRM-GAME niama.boukachaba@meteo.fr





* Assimilation of IR observation over continents

- ★ IASI vs SEVIRI channels for land surface temperature (LST) retrieval and comparison method
- ★ IASI channel selected for LST retrieval and its impact on the assimilation
- ★ Conclusions and prospects



Assimilation of IR observation over continents

IASI (Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer) has 8461 spectral samples but just 314 channels are used in NWP.

The forecast of continental surface temperature is not realistic enough to use the infrared information in the lower troposphere and close to the surface over continents.



3 Surface ITSC-XX , Lake Geneva, 28 October - 3 November 2015, Wisconsin, USA METEO FRANCE

Assimilation of IR observation over continents

 IASI (Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer) has 8461 spectral samples but just 314 channels are used in NWP.

The forecast of continental surface temperature is not realistic enough to use the infrared information in the lower troposphere and close to the surface over continents.



4 Surface ITSC-XX , Lake Geneva, 28 October - 3 November 2015, Wisconsin, USA

Radiative transfer equation inversion:

$$LST = L\left[\frac{R_{\nu}(\theta) - L_{\nu}^{\dagger}(\theta) - \Gamma_{\nu}(\theta)(1 - \varepsilon_{\nu}(\theta))L_{\nu}^{\dagger}(\theta)}{\Gamma_{\nu}(\theta)\varepsilon_{\nu}(\theta)}\right]^{-1}$$

[Karbou et al., 2006]

 ϵ v: surface emissivity, Γ v: atmospheric transmission , Lv and Lv: atmospheric upwelling and downwelling radiances at channel v.



The geographical domain of AROME model



Assimilation of IR observation over continents

Challenges

- What is the best IASI surface-sensitive channel for LST retrieval?
- What is the impact of this retrieved LST on the assimilation of IASI in AROME model?

IASI and SEVIRI channels for LST retrieval and comparison method

IASI				
Channels	Wave number (cm ⁻¹)	Wavelenght (µm)		
1027	901.50	11.09		
1271	942.50	10.61		
1191	943.25	10.60		
1194	962.50	10.39		
1884	1115.75	8.96		



Weighting function of SEVIRI channels [Schmetz et al., 2002]



Exemple of IASI profiles in clear sky conditions [Fourrié, 2010]

SEVIRI*				
Channels	Wavelenght (µm)			
01	3.9			
04	8.7			
07	12.0			

* Spinning Enhanced Visible and Infrared Image

Study period from 20150115 to 20150228



IASI and SEVIRI channels for LST retrieval and comparison method

Comparison method between IASI and SEVIRI data



Comparison between retrieved LST: IASI vs SEVIRI



 Retrieved LST: IASI channel 1191and SEVIRI channel 04 (by box of 0.5° * 0.5°)



Comparison between retrieved LST: IASI vs SEVIRI



Mean difference between retrieved LST (IASI channel 1191 and SEVIRI channel 04) (by box of 0.5° * 0.5°)

SEVIRI IASI channel channels	Day			Night			
	channels	Mean	Std	Correlation	Mean	Std	Correlation
	1027	0.711	1.682	0.906	1.736	1.153	0.939
04	1271	0.897	1.663	0.908	1.910	1.154	0.939
	1191	0.724	1.666	0.907	1.757	1.150	0.940
	1194	0.775	1.671	0.907	1.818	1.160	0.939
	1884	0.268	1.604	0.914	1.127	1.110	0.940
To obser	Total 1089		Total 1089 1090				

9

ITSC-XX, Lake Geneva, 28 October - 3 November 2015, Wisconsin, USA

The mean difference between IASI and SEVIRI is around 0.8K at day and less than 2K at night. The correlation is much better at night.





- IASI MetOp A & MetOp B produce similar LST retrievals.
- ✤ The use of variable emissivity provides a more realistic LST.
- The comparison between IASI and SEVIRI channels present good results allowing to study the complementarity between polar and geostationnary satellite.
- The comparison between channels in AROME model enable us to keep only the relevant IASI channels for temperature retrieval (the same resultats in the global ARPEGE model): we chose channel 1191.

Boukachaba, N., Fourrié, N., and Guidard, V., (2015) Land surface temperature retrieval from IASI for assimilation over the AROME-France domain. EUMETSAT Meteorological Satellite Conference, 21-25 September 2015, Toulouse, France.



EXP	LST retrieved from IASI channel 1191 used for IASI BTs simulation
REF	LST from AROME forecast (= operations) used for IASI BTs simulation

 IASI channels used for cloud detection (McNally & Watts) in LW temperature band



Exemple of IASI profiles in clear sky conditions [Fourrié, 2010]

11



The geographical domain of AROME model: horizontal resolution: 1.3 km, 90 vertical levels, 36 h forecasts every 3h, hourly 3DVar Data Assimilation.



Location of IASI observations



The total clear observations is 988 at day and 1215 at night for each IASI channel (according to AVHRR)





channels. The Std is much better in EXP for both cases.

Ø

METEO FRANCE







Conclusions and prospects

Conclusions

- The comparison between channels in AROME model enable us to keep only the relevant IASI channels for temperature retrieval (the same resultats over global ARPEGE model): we chose channel 1191.
- The first results of assimilation are encouraging and present a slightly positive impact on some other observation such as temperature from radiosoundings.

Future work

- Select IASI surface-sensitive channels to be assimilated over land and evaluate the improvement of assimilation and forecasts in the AROME-France domain.
- Assimilate the recent sensors like CrIs and prepare the assimilation of the new hyperspectral sensors such as IRS and IASI-NG over continents.



Thank you for your attention

00



Comparison between IASI MetOp A and MetOp B



IASI MetOp A and MetOp B characteristics

 Comparison of retrieved LST IASI MetOp A vs MetOp B from 20150115 to 20150228



Very good correlation between retrieved LST IASI MetOp A vs MetOp B (a little better at night)

- IASI-A and B are on the same orbit with a 180° shift.
- → ~50 min temporal shift.
- ➡ off-nadir: from 0° to 39°, opposite angles .
- Regional averaging of the soundings (area 300 × 300km or less). [Jouglet et al., 2013]



 Comparison between cloud cover IASI MetOp A vs MetOp B from 20150201 to 20150221 (according to AVHRR)

	Metop A		Metop B		
	Clear	Cloudy	Clear	Cloudy	
Day	20%	80%	21%	79%	
Night	43%	57%	33%	67%	

Around 80% of IASI MetOp A & MetOp B are affected by clouds at day and more than 50% at night

• Difference between background and retrieved LST IASI channels

Channel	Day			Night		
number	Mean	Std	Correlation	Mean	Std	Correlation
1027	0.161	2.238	0.876	-0.695	2.936	0.718
1271	0.337	2.177	0.881	-0.524	2.902	0.722
1191	0.165	2.217	0.877	-0.678	2.938	0.716
1194	0.212	2.194	0.879	-0.615	2.901	0.722
1884	-0.324	2.191	0.879	-1.327	3.108	0.690
Total observation		66191			96070	

- Mean difference between background and retrieved LST is less than 0.4K at day and 0.7K at night for all IASI channels.
- Standard deviation is around 2K at day and 3K at night.
- Better correlation at day.

Comparison between retrieved LST IASI 1191 and 1027 channels



• The comparison between retrieved LST IASI channels present a very good result with a correlation higher than 0.9.



Comparison between retrieved LST IASI vs SEVIRI channels per hour



METEO FRANCE

Difference between retrieved LST using constant vs variable emissivity over ARPEGE model For October 2014



