# Suggesting a 1DVAR System for NWP Assimilation Pre-Processing

# S.-A. Boukabara, K. Garrett, W. Chen, B. Yan, C. Kongoli, F. Weng, R. Ferraro, H. Meng and F. Iturbide-Sanchez

NOAA/Center for Satellite Applications and Research (STAR), Camp Springs, MD

16<sup>th</sup> International TOVS Study Conference (ITSC-16), Angra dos Reis, Brazil

# Layout

- Scope/Agenda of the presentation
  - Present a 1DVAR system to pre-process radiances
  - Show the applicability in non-conventional areas (over land, sea ice, etc. and in cloudy/rainy conditions)
  - Promote it as a pre-processor to NWP assimilation models
- The Microwave Integrated Retrieval System
  - System Design & Mathematical Basis
  - Assimilation/Retrieval
- Potential Benefits to NWP Assimilation
  - QC & Precipitation/Ice Detection
  - Suggested sounding in Precipitating Conditions
  - Emissivity Spectrum & Surface Type Information
  - Expanded coverage

# The MIRS System

### System Design & Architecture



## The MIRS System

Mathematical Basis: Minimization of Cost Function

$$J(X) = \left[\frac{1}{2}(X - X_0)^T \times B^{-1} \times (X - X_0)\right] + \left[\frac{1}{2}(Y^m - Y(X)^T \times E^{-1} \times (Y^m - Y(X))^T\right]$$

Atmosphere

Surface

- State Vector X comprises:
  - Temperature & Moisture profiles
  - Non-precipitating cloud profile
  - Hydrometeors profiles (liquid & frozen phases)
  - Skin Temperature
  - Surface Emissivity spectrum
- EOF Decomposition to balance X with information content of radiances Y



#### Assumptions in the Assimilation with MIRS (Usual suspects...)

needed for Q.

Hydr

- The PDF of X is assumed <u>Gaussian</u>
- Operator Y <u>able to simulate measurements-</u> <u>like</u> radiances
  Rely on CRTM
- Errors of the model and the instrumental noise combined are assumed (1) <u>non-biased</u> and (2) <u>Normally</u> distributed.
- Forward model assumed <u>locally linear</u> at each iteration.
  Valid assumption. Reminder: This is just the RTM FWD model. No

CRTM used in MIRS to provide:

(1) Simulation of Radiances and

(2) Jacobians for all parameters

# How Does MIRS work in Precipitating Conditions ?



#### Example of convergence



# How is Assimilation done in Cloudy/Precipitating Conditions?

- X includes clouds and Hydrometeors Parameters
- Rely on CRTM to provide radiances that account for scattering and absorption due to cloud/rain/ice
- Rely on CRTM to provide Jacobians of Radiances wrt cloud/rain/ice parameters
- Constraints provided in the Covariance Matrix

Cloud and Hydrometeors parameters are treated in a similar way as the traditional temperature and moisture parameters

No cloud resolving model is used in the forward operator

### **Covariance Matrix Used in MIRS**

Obtained by combining ECMWF-based covariance with WRF-based correlations for rain (correlations with Ice, Temperature, Humidity, etc)

This assures that T, Q, CLW, Rain and Ice Retrievals are physically consistent, <u>on average</u>.

Correlation Matrix for Params: TEMP/WVAP/CLW/BAIN/GRPL/TSKIN/SFCP/ 501.0 401.0 301.0 Parameters Index 201.0 101.0 1.D 101.0 201.0 301.0 401.0 501.0 Parameters Index

No cloud resolving model is used in the forward operator

Makes the covariance matrix very important

### Results of MIRS (Convergence)



#### Results of MIRS (Hydrometeors retrieval -GWP) Absorption Only Absorption Exercised Called The Comparison of the Comparis





MIRS-retrieved RWP



No convergence was reached before





# **Comparison at MHS Resolution**

).05



High spatial correlation MSPPS / MIRS

#### Coastal transition smooth

Rain Water Path (RWP)



#### MIRS RWP @ MHS Resolution



0.04 0.13 0.22 0.32 0.41

0.5

# Potential Benefits to NWP Assimilation

## **Detecting Cloud/Precip/Ice**

Ice and Rain could be retrieved at the same time or one without the other, depending on the signal in the radiances and the Jacobians from CRTM.



### Providing NWP with QC



Convergence Metric:  $\varphi^{2} = \left[ \left( Y^{m} - Y(X) \right)^{T} \times E^{-1} \times \left( Y^{m} - Y(X) \right) \right]$ 

Non-convergence is a powerful QC tool for NWP assimilation.

It could signal a contamination, a surface mixture that is hard to model or anything that might be inconsistent with the forward operator

# **Detecting Surface Type**

- Thanks to retrieved Emissivity spectrum:
  - Sea Ice detected over water
  - Snow detected over land



#### Provide an Estimate of the State Vector, Including in Precip Conditions



#### Expanded (Global) Coverage

NoData OC fail



#### Most parameters are retrieved globally (over land/ocean/sea ice/desert/snow/coast/etc)



0.45 0.50 0.56 0.61 0.67 0.72 0.78 0.83 0.89 0.94 1.00

## Conclusions

- No difference between a variational <u>Retrieval</u> and 1DVAR radiance assimilation
- Algorithm estimates sounding, cloud, precipitation and surface parameters in non-traditional areas (could be used as 1<sup>st</sup> Guess to NWP assimilation models)
- MIRS also offers powerful QC indicators for NWP assimilation
- MIRS could be considered a rapid pre-processing tool that could help the full 3D or 4D VAR NWP assimilation
- MIRS is generic (for all MW sensors), so treatment of sensors data is consistent (used routinely for AMSU, MHS, SSMIS)
- MIRS is freely available to scientific community.