

### Analysis of satellite-derived infrared and microwave emissivities over deserts: relationship with surface characteristics

J. Catherinot, C . Jimenez, C. Prigent LERMA, Observatoire de Paris

F. Aires LMD/IPSL, Université Pierre et Marie Curie

Thanks to Eva Borbas for providing us with the IR emissivity dataset



#### **INTRODUCTION**

Key role of surface emissivity

- In Ts estimates:
  - in the IR (clear sky): signal proportional to  $eT^4$  in a first approx.
  - in the MW (cloudy sky): signal proportional to *eT* in a first approx.
- In related longwave radiation budget... both directly and through the Ts estimates...

• In the inversion of surface sensitive channels from atmospheric sounders, both in the IR and in the MW

Often crudely estimated in inversion procedures or in climate models

• Very low variability generally assumed

However, large variability can be observed, especially over deserts

• In models, often one value assumed for one class of surface, i.e., only a few values for all deserts



#### INTRODUCTION

Example of differencies between satellite and model estimates in the MW





#### **INTRODUCTION**

A few studies in each wavelength range over deserts, but most of them at local scales

What we suggest:

A joint analysis of large scale satellite-derived emissivity datasets over arid and semi-arid regions in the IR and in the MW:

- to better understand the relationship with surface properties
- to check the consistency of the two data sets and give insights on their quality
- to give guidance for a better specification of the emissivities in inversion problems and climate models.



#### THE SATELLITE-DERIVED MW EMISSIVITIES



See Aires et al. presentation



#### THE SATELLITE-DERIVED IR EMISSIVITIES



See Borbas et al. presentation



#### THE SATELLITE-DERIVED EMISSIVITIES

Very stable and contrasted signatures.

Some of the signatures are expected, some are not.

Cannot be noise (but could be artifacts of the retrieval methods...)

Can all the signatures be physically interpreted?

Some detective work...



#### LAND SURFACE PROPERTIES OF THE REGION

Many sources of information analyzed. Not all relevant to our purpose



olcanic rocks

carbonate rocks

siliceous and argillaceous rocks

Precambrian shield

Topography

Lithography (see poster by Catherinot et al. for more details)



#### SENSITIVITY TO THE TOPOGRAPHY IN THE MW

With increasing roughness, decreasing polarization difference, as expected.





#### SENSITIVITY TO THE PENETRATION DEPTH IN THE MW

In some locations, reduced amplitude and shifted phase of the microwaves, compared to the IR. The lower the frequency, the larger the discrepancy.

> =>Microwaves originate from below the surface, whereas IR measures skin temperature. The lower the frequency, the larger the penetration



Time series of passive microwave SSM/I observations and IR surface temperature (ISCCP) at two locations in the African desert



Temperature diurnal cycle at different depths from observations and heat conduction model

Estimate of the penetration depth using 8-times daily IR estimates of surface temperatures and a heat conduction model (thermal diffusivity of 0.002 cm2/s)





### SENSITIVITY TO THE LITHOLOGY in the IR and MW

Histograms of the emissivities for specific rock types





A TRANSECT OVER A SPECIFIC REGION





=> Spatial consistency of the microwave and infrared signatures, with the lithology



## CORESPONDANCE WITH THE SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF THE MINERALS

**IN THE INFRARED**, the ASTER database provides spectral information for many minerals and rocks



=> Rather weak signatures of the carbonates in the MODIS channels

=> Strong signatures of the silicates in the MODIS channels

\* Note that all the spectra features not included in the initial IR spectral data base, although they show up in the IR emissivity data base



# CORESPONDANCE WITH THE SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF THE MINERALS

**IN THE MICROWAVES**, limited information in the literature.

Solid Rocks	Freq. (GHz)	٤'	ε"	References
Granites (average values)	0.45	5.47	0.08	Campbell and Ulrichs (1969)
	35	5.31	0.1	Campbell and Ulrichs (1969)
	170	5.31	0.197	Thomas (2004)
Basalts (average values)	0.45	7.79	0.2	Campbell and Ulrichs (1969)
	35	7.36	0.41	Campbell and Ulrichs (1969)
	170	7.67	0.45	Thomas (2004)
Carbonates (average values)	0.2	8.9	0.2	Thomas (2004)
	35	7.76	0.24	Thomas (2004)
	110	8.12	0.1	Thomas (2004)
	170	7.68	0.11	Thomas (2004)

Measurements performed in collaboration with C. Matzler, for specific materials. Not easy measurements...

 $\Rightarrow$  Carbonated rocks usually have a higher permittivity



Simulations using the IEM model from Shi et al., 2002

⇒Higher permittivity induces lower emissivity



### EMISSIVITY-DERIVED CLASSIFICATION OF THE REGION





#### CONCLUSIONS

•High sensitivity of the IR and MW emissivities to the surface properties in deserts, with large variabilities as a function of topography, lithography, porosity

•Interest of the use of satellite-derived emissivity atlases in such environments that are very difficult to model.

•Sensitivity higher than expected of the MW to the presence of carbonated outcrops. Still to be physically explained.

•Systematic comparisons with visible observations (MODIS albedos, AVHRR, Parasol), thermal measurements (amplitude of the Ts diurnal cycle), microwave active observations (scatterometer and altimeter) under investigation. To constrain the physical interpretation of the satellite signals.

•Applications of the lessons learned with SSM/I to the analysis of SMOS data in these regions

See poster by Julie Catherinot et al. for additional analysis