# Snow properties retrieval by full polarimetric decomposition in C-band SAR data

Jean-Pierre DEDIEU<sup>1</sup>, Nikola BESIC<sup>2</sup>, Gabriel Vazile<sup>2</sup>, Sophie Roberge<sup>3</sup>, Monique Bernier<sup>3</sup>, Yves Durand<sup>4</sup>, Samuel Morin<sup>4</sup> Frédéric Gottardi<sup>5</sup>, Matthieu Le Lay<sup>5</sup>

(1) LTHE-CNRS, France; (2) Gipsa-Lab Grenoble INP, France; (3) INRS-ETE, Canada; (4) CNRM-GAME/CEN, France; (5) EDF-DTG, France.

- SOAR #1341 and SOAR-EI #5135 Projects, CSA (Canadian Space Agency) and MDA, 2009-2016 - PNTS 2009 #10 and 2013 #03 Projects, CNES (French Space Agency) and INSU, 2009-2015





- What relationship can be set between the temporal evolution of backscattering parameters at C-band and the snow pack metamorphism evolution?

- Which polarimetric parameters offer the best performance for snow physical characteristics retrieval at C-band ?

- How to link properly snow measurements in mountains and SAR data ?

## Outline

- Snow Backscattering at C-band
- Data and application site
- Retrieval methods for wet snow Results
- Retrieval methods for dry snow Results

## **1.** Snow backscattering mechanism



#### Backscattering Components:

1. Snow surface

2. Underlying ground

3. Volume

4'. Volume+underlying

ground interaction

- 4". Underlying ground
- + volume interaction

(from Besic et al., 2014)

Wet snow (surface) retrieval	Dry snow (depth) retrieval	Snow volume retrieval	Freqency (GHz/cm)	SAR sensors
Х	0	0	L (1.2/26)	JERS, ALOS 1&2
x	0 ( <b>X</b> polarimetry)	0	C (5.3/5.6)	ERS 1&2, ASAR, Radarsat 1&2, Sentinel 1.
Х	х	Х	X (9.6/3.1)	TerraSAR-X, COSMO-SkyMed
Х	х	X	Ku (17.2/2.5)	Cryosat-2 (Altimeter)

## 1. Snow backscattering mechanism



#### Backscattering Components:

1. Snow surface

2. Underlying ground

3. Volume

4'. Volume+underlying

ground interaction

4". Underlying ground

+ volume interaction

(from Besic et al., 2014)

Snow backscattering simulation at C frequency band (5.3 GHz) [Shi, 2000]					
Wet snow	Dominance of the snow surface component (case 1)				
Dry snow	Dominance of the underlying ground component (case 2) and volume – underlying surface interaction (case 4)				

\* N. Besic et al. "Dry snow backscattering sensitivity on density change for SWE estimation", IGARSS 2012

\* N. Besic et al. "Wet snow backscattering sensitivity on density change for SWE estimation", IGARSS 2013 \* JP. Dedieu et al., IGARSS 2014; N, Besic et al., IEEE- GRSL 2015.

\* Nagler et al., 2008; Stockamp et al., 2014; Leinss et al., 2014 = inversion process for SWE at Ku + X bands (CoreH<sub>2</sub>O)

## C-band Backscattering of Snow-Covered Ground (non polarimetric)



Test site: Leutasch, Tyrol.Ground-based scatterometer measurementsDry snow depth: 0.5 – 1.0 m(H. Rott, 2005)Background target : Meadow(H. Rott, 2005)

Wet snow mapping at C-band : Nagler (2000), Magagi (2003), Longepe (2009) 4

## 2. Radarsat-2

Full Polarimetry : (HH, VV, HV, VH)

- Launched December 14, 2007
- Canadian Space Agency
- C band (5.3 GHz, 5.4 cm)



#### French Alps Mission technical specification

Mode	Swath Width	Repeat Cycle	Look Direction	Spatial Resolution	Incidence Angle center	
Fine Quad Pol	25 x 28 km	24 days	Right-looking	7.51 x 4.73 m <sup>(1)</sup>	39.1 to 39.2	

(1) Ground range by azimuth

**RCM**: RADARSAT Constellation Mission = continuity of the RADARSAT Program A three-satellite configuration, daily access to 90% of the world's surface Compact polarimetric mode, Launch: 2018.

## 3. Study site and data: French Alps



#### IGN-DEM of the site 28 x 25 km<sup>2</sup>



- ➡ Rugged Terrain
  - Complex to analyse
  - snow cover heterogeneity
- ⇒ Landcover
  - Presence of Glaciers ٠
  - Grasslands and rocks ۲

6

Date	Orbit	Pass	Near and far	Snow conditions
		(5:42 UTC)	Incidence Angles	
2009-01-12	FQ19	Descending	38.3-39.8	Dry
2009-03-01	FQ19	Descending	38.3-39.8	Dry
2009-03-25	FQ19	Descending	38.3-39.8	Multi layer
2009-05-12	FQ19	Descending	38.3-39.8	Wet
2009-08-16	FQ19	Descending	38.3-39.8	Snow free
2010-01-07	FQ19	Descending	38.3-39.8	Dry
2011-01-02	FQ19	Descending	38.3-39.8	Dry
2011-02-19	FQ19	Descending	38.3-39.8	Multi layer
2011-03-08	FQ14	Descending	36.4-38.1	Multi layer
2011-04-01	FQ14	Descending	36.4-38.1	Wet
2011-04-25	FQ14	Descending	36.4-38.1	Wet
2013-09-05	FQ14	Descending	36.4-38.1	Snow free
2014-01-03	FQ14	Descending	36.4-38.1	Dry
2014-01-27	FQ14	Descending	36.4-38.1	Dry
2014-02-20	FQ14	Descending	36.4-38.1	Multi layer
2014-03-16	FQ14	Descending	36.4-38.1	Multi layer
2014-04-09	FQ14	Descending	36.4-38.1	Wet
2014-05-03	FQ14	Descending	36.4-38.1	Wet
2014-05-27	FQ14	Descending	36.4-38.1	Wet

Radarsat -2 acquisition dates: 4 winter season (17) + 2 snow-free

## 3.1 Fieldwork: snow measurements sites (10)



Météo-France 🛛 🔵 E

SITE	COORDINATES (Lat/long)	ELEVATION (m asl.)	AZIMUTH <sup>*</sup> (°)	SURFACE TYPE
AGNELIN (1)	N 45° 13' 55" E 06° 05' 15"	2230	270	Alpine grassland
LAC NOIR (2)	N 45° 03' 01'' E 06° 13' 34''	2435	160	Bare rocks
MONTFROID (3)	N 45° 12' 17'' E 06° 10' 20''	2270	25	Alpine grassland
LAC BLANC (4)	N 45° 07' 43'' E 06° 06' 32''	2720	260	Bare rocks
CARRELET (5)	N 45° 08' 12'' E 06° 05' 29''	2010	280	Alpine grassland
HUEZ 1800 (6)	N 45° 05' 55'' E 06° 04' 15''	1860	220	Alpine grassland
HUEZ Chavanus (7)	N 45° 06' 19'' E 06° 04' 51''	2065	240	Alpine grassland
CHANCEL (8)	N 45° 01' 37" E 06° 16' 10"	2510	35	Bare rocks
RIF Puy Vacher (9)	N 45° 01' 48'' E 06° 16' 40''	2190	30	Grassland open forest
MEIJE Nivose (10)	N 45° 00' 20'' E 06° 09' 43''	3100	45	Bare rocks

#### Characteristics of measurement sites

(\*) Main orientation of the mountain general slope where the <u>flat</u> sites are located (0° to 10°)

## 3.2 In situ snow measurements (Météo-France, EDF)





Cosmic-ray Snow Gauge (EDF)

mpérature (°C)	Hauteur (cm)	1112	diam	dur	hu <sup>x</sup>	mv
24 -20 -16 -12 -8	4					
	- 180					
	- 160					
	- 140					
	- 120					
	- 100	•0	0.4	4		420
		۵.	0.4	3	1	200
		0.0	0.4	2	3	280
	- 60	^0	0.9	з	1	340
	- 40	A.A.	1.0	з	1	410
	- 20	~~	1.4	э	1	370
		~~	2.0	1	. T	300
			·			

Snow stratigraphy profiles and physical parameters (T°,  $\phi$ ,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\sigma$ , h, LWC, ...)

## Field measurements parameters (6) selected for dry snow polarimetric analysis:

h : depth (cm) = total and above the 1<sup>st</sup> rough ice layer (refrozen solid crust, slightly wet)

 $\sigma$ : density (kg/m<sup>3</sup>)

**SWE**: snow water equivalent (mm) = given by H x  $\sigma$ 

 $\phi$  and T°: grain type, size and temperature (fresh to fine grains and T°<0° in case of dry snow)

**LWC** : liquide water content (zero in case of dry snow) given by =  $\varepsilon$  - ( $A^*\sigma - B^*\sigma^2$ ) /C with A = 1.202, B = 0.983, C = 21.3 (LEAS capacitance probe)  $\varepsilon$  : dielectric constant (c/Vm)

## 3.3 Ancillary Data

- **DEM** IGN 25m (SD in elevation ± 5m)

- CROCUS snow metamorphism model (Brun et al., 1989; 1992; Lafaysse, 2012)

- PolSARPro and SNAP, free ESA toolboxes : pre-processing steps

• ESA-IETR « The Polarimetric SAR Data Processing and Educational Tool » (Pottier/Ferro-Famil) for polarimetric parameters retrieval <u>http://eath.esa.int/polsarpro/</u>

• SNAP (ESA-STEP) : SENTINEL-1 Toolbox for slant-to-ground images geocoding <u>http://step.esa.int/main/toolboxes/snap/</u>

## 4. WET SNOW Application

## 4.1 Non polarimetric analysis

#### Wet snow mapping : threshold-based method using polarized SAR data (Nagler et al., 2000)

- Wet snow detected using change detection against dry snow reference.
- \* Characteristics
  - Not requiring polarimetric data, only co- or cross-polarized mode (HH, VV or HV, VH)
  - Binary Segmentation (snow/no-snow) as a mask
  - Either a summer (no-snow) or a dry snow scene can be used as reference

\* Principle: Wet snow on the ground  $\rightarrow$  Backscattered signal decreases:

$$\frac{\sigma_{wint\,er}^{0}}{\sigma_{ref}^{0}} \leq -3 \ dB$$

14

#### Flexible threshold (Longépé et al., 2009)

- \* Characteristics



F<sub>a</sub> =  $\left[1 + \exp\left(s.\left(\frac{\sigma_{wet}^0}{\sigma_{ref}^0} + 3\right)\right)\right]^{-1}$ 

## Image processing (SNAP/ESA Toolbox)



Wet snow conditions: Radarsat2 image. HH-2HV-VV color composition 27/05/2014 © MDA-CSA / SOAR-EI.

## **Improvement of the method**



16

## Improvement of the method



## 4.2 Sensiblity analysis of $\sigma 0$ temporal correlation with snow metamorphism



## 4.3 Polarimetric analysis: Liquid Water Content (LWC) estimation

## \* Field measurements (10 sites, 15 images)

**LWC** : liquide water content % is given by = Epsilon -  $(A^*\sigma - B^*\sigma^2)/C$ with A = 1.202, B = 0.983, C = 21.3 (LEAS capacitance probe)  $\varepsilon$  : dielectric constant (c/V m) Conductivity function : relationship between  $\varepsilon$  and volumetric water content  $\theta$ 

## \* Polarimetric decomposition modeling

Yamaguchi (2005), Arii (2011). Sites window size = 25x25 m

LWC characterization : relationship between  $\epsilon$  and SAR phase signal  $\overrightarrow{E}$ 

Validation: Crocus snow model



Radarsat-2, 2009-2014 dataset

Date	Orbit	Pass (17:31 UTC)	Near and far Incidence Angles	Snow conditions
2015-03-17	FQ20	Ascending	39.2-40.7	Multi layer
2015-04-03	FQ25	Ascending	43.6-44.9	Wet
2015-04-10	FQ20	Ascending	39.2-40.7	Wet
2015-04-27	FQ25	Ascending	43.6-44.9	Wet
2015-05-04	FQ20	Ascending	39.2-40.7	Wet
2015-05-21	FQ25	Ascending	43.6-44.9	Wet
2015-05-28	FQ20	Ascending	39.2-40.7	Wet

## Radarsat -2 acquisition dates (7) spring 2015 for LWC estimation

## 4. DRY SNOW Application

## **4.1** Polarimetric parameters retrieval



#### **Decomposition theorem:**

H/α (Cloude-Pottier; 1996, 1997)

- Dedieu et al. Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing, 2012.

## 4.2 H/ $\alpha$ decomposition:

#### (based on the backscattering matrix)

• Algebraic decomposition





S. R. Cloude, E. Pottier, "A Review of Target Decomposition Theorems in Radar Polarimetry", IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, vol. 34, no. 2, 1996.





Example of mean entropy (H) and Alpha angle for 10 measurements sites, Radarsat-2 images of 25 April 2011. The values are set in the nine H/ $\alpha$  plane segmentation. We observe a change in scattering behaviour from left bottom (low entropy) for dry and cold snow, to the right side (higher entropy) with increasing humidity and multiple scattering interaction.

Results are in accordance with Hanjnsek et al., 2003; Martini et al., 2006; Stockamp et al., 2014).

(Dedieu & Roberge, in Télédétection des Surfaces Continentales, Vol 4, ISTE Eds 2016, in Press)

## **4.3 Physical justification – hypothesis:**





#### Effective dry snow depth measurements 2009-2014

## 4.4 Statistical experiment design

Status: No relationship directly observed between H and  $\alpha$  polarimetric parameters versus snow characteristics measurements (depth, SWE).

Decision: to process a multivariate statistical analysis on the data, taking in account that each parameter is interactively combined with other factors, as for snow measurements as for polarimetric descriptors.

Method: to create a contengency table (x,y): each row is a snow observation, each column is a polarimetric attribute/feature.



Statistical tool : the canonical correlation analysis (CCA) [Seber, 1984].

• CCA compares these two quantitative variables groups (snow, polar) applied both on the same individuals (sites + dates), to see if they describe the same phenomenon

- •The purpose of CCA is to compare these two groups of variables to see if they describe the same phenomenon (correlation).
- CCA is a way of making sense of cross-covariance matrices.

Question 1: how many polarimetric descriptors to select (total 27) ?

The main representative drivers are (E. Pottier):

Entropy = indicates the random profile for global scattering of the target.
If zero, only one dominant mecanism (pure target)
If 1, the three mechanisms are equal (distributed target)

Alpha angle = describes the scattering type (parametrization angle)
If 0°, surface scattering
If 45°, volume scattering
If 90°, double bounce

Nature and importance of the different backscattering mechanisms:

- **SERD** = single eingenvalue relative difference (expresses the relative part of the simple diffusion in the signal phase)
- **DERD** = double eingenvalue relative difference (expresses the relative part of the multiple diffusion in the signal phase)
- Question 2: wich snow parameter to choose as input data ?

The most reliable *in situ* snow measurements are: depth, density, SWE.

#### **4.5** Results : comparison with field measurements



(Dedieu, Besic et al., under preparation for IEEE-GRSL)

## Conclusion

1. Wet snow multi-temporal mapping using Radarsat-2 non polarimetric mode: simple threshold method to implement for time series (Sentinel-1),

Limitation: layover and SAR shadow mask => optimal incidence angle  $\pm 40^{\circ}$ 

2. Dry snow depth retrieval using Radarsat-2 polarimetric mode: interaction between Cloude-Pottier H/ $\alpha$  polarimetric decomposition and *in situ* snow height measurement, using CCA statistical processing. Validated for 2009-2011 time series, confirmed with 2014 second mission.

*Limitation: concern only the dry snow upper ground or last solid crust layer in snow pack, concern only flat areas (slopes > 12° are here excluded).* 

## Perspectives

1. Wet snow: complemetary data acquisition during winter 2015 = snow LWC retrieval for sites and at massif scale (Crocus model) <u>work in progress</u>

2. Dry snow: (i) radiometric slope correction to be done (Small, 2004; 2011) and Crocus snow model for validation at the massif scale.

(ii) Improve the CCA process at X-band (TerraSAR-X) with polarimetric phase difference.



Picture Mth. Laval 12/01/2009

## Thanks to Météo-France/CEN and EDF/DTG technical staff !